



MINNESOTA COUNCIL
ON FOUNDATIONS

2012 **GIVING** **IN MINNESOTA**

Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition

Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition is available free on the Minnesota Council on Foundations' website at www.mcf.org/research/giving.

Contributing Staff, Minnesota Council on Foundations

Anne Bauers	Research Manager
Anne Graham	Database and Information Services Assistant
Bill King	President
Susan Stehling	Communications Associate
Megan Sullivan	Publications and Operations Coordinator
Wendy Wehr	Vice President of Communications and Information Services

Acknowledgments

Cyndi Nelson, Minnesota Attorney General's Office, Charities Division

Teresa vanderBent, Consultant

About the Minnesota Council on Foundations

The Minnesota Council on Foundations (MCF) works actively to expand and strengthen a vibrant community of diverse grantmakers who individually and collectively advance the common good. MCF members represent three-quarters of all grantmaking in the state, awarding almost \$1 billion annually. Members include private family and independent foundations, community and other public foundations, and corporate foundations and giving programs. For more information, visit www.mcf.org.

Foreword

Dear Friends and Colleagues:

The Minnesota Council on Foundations (MCF) presents *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*, the most comprehensive analysis of charitable giving in our state. This report demonstrates the commitment of grantmakers to be valuable partners with nonprofits in meeting community needs, and to be open and accessible in their work as funders.

The 2012 edition features information on overall giving in 2010 (the most recent time period for which complete data are available), as well as on specific grantmaking trends based on analysis of annual giving by 100 of the largest Minnesota grantmakers. Although they represent just 7 percent of all grantmakers in the state, these 100 accounted for approximately 85 percent of all grant dollars paid in Minnesota in 2010.

New to this edition of *Giving in Minnesota* is the addition of grantmaking by Greater Twin Cities United Way in the giving totals and in sample trends. As definitions of community philanthropy have changed and expanded, inclusion of this public charity grantmaker created a more comprehensive picture of total grant dollars distributed in our state. Another change you may notice as you read this year's report is expansion of our usual year-to-year comparisons to include some multi-year discussions of the data. This seemed particularly useful to fully examine giving before, during and after the 2008 economic recession.

MCF thanks the many individuals and organizations that helped make this report possible: the Minnesota Attorney General's Office Charities Division for providing public data on charitable giving; MCF members and other grantmakers for providing giving data; and MCF staff and consultants for data collection and coding, analysis, and report writing and production.

We hope you find the information presented here useful in your work. The Minnesota Council on Foundations has been collecting and analyzing information on Minnesota grantmaking for more than 30 years. *Giving in Minnesota* demonstrates our ongoing commitment to provide the most current, accurate and reliable information on grantmaking in the state. We encourage you to visit our website at www.mcf.org to learn more about MCF's other grantmaking and grantseeking resources.

Sincerely,



William R. King
President
Minnesota Council on Foundations

Table of Contents

Section I: Introduction	2
Section II: Key Findings	3
Section III: Minnesota Giving Overview	5
Section IV: Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends	15
<i>Grantmaking by Subject Area</i>	18
Arts, Culture and Humanities	23
Education	27
Environment/Animals	30
Health	33
Human Services	36
International Affairs	40
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	43
Religion	46
<i>Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area</i>	49
<i>Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary</i>	52
<i>Grantmaking by Support Type</i>	56
Section V: Methodology	59
Section VI: Appendices	62
Appendix i: <i>Giving in Minnesota</i> Sample	62
Appendix ii: Top 50 Minnesota Grantmakers by Grants Paid, 2010	63
Appendix iii: Top 50 Minnesota Foundations by Assets, 2010	64
Appendix iv: Top 25 Minnesota Private Foundations by Grants Paid, 2010	65
Appendix v: Top 25 Minnesota Corporate Foundations & Giving Programs by Grants Paid, 2010	66
Appendix vi: Top 25 Minnesota Community/Public Foundations by Grants Paid, 2010	67
Appendix vii: Top 50 Non-Minnesota-Based Foundation Giving to Minnesota-Based Recipients by Grants Paid, 2010	68
Appendix viii: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Actual Dollars, 2006–2010	69
Appendix ix: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Inflation-Adjusted Dollars, 2006–2010	70
Appendix x: Subject Area Summaries, 2010	71

Introduction

Giving in Minnesota, a research report produced by the Minnesota Council on Foundations (MCF) since 1976 (and released annually since 1997), provides a comprehensive analysis of the trends in giving by organized philanthropy in the state. The report presents the scope of philanthropy in Minnesota to diverse audiences, including nonprofits, the media, public officials and the general public, as well as to foundations and corporate giving programs.

Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition describes Minnesota grantmaking trends for the research year 2010, which MCF defines as including the fiscal years of Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs that end between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011. This is the most recent period for which comprehensive data are available. Because all grantmakers do not use the same fiscal year, and because foundations are allowed several months to file an IRS 990 or 990-PF tax return, there is some lag time before data are available to MCF for analysis.

This report includes two major sections. The first section – **Minnesota Giving Overview** – provides information on total domestic and international grantmaking by all Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs, as well as charitable giving by individual Minnesotans. The second and larger section of the report – **Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends** – includes in-depth analysis of giving trends by 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota by grants paid (see Appendix i for the list). This section of the report includes information on grantmaking by subject area, geographic service area, intended beneficiary and support type.

Data for the report are collected from multiple sources. For information on the methodology used to collect and analyze data, as well as limitations of the report, see the Methodology section on page 59.

Types of Foundations

MCF categorizes foundations into three types for this report: private foundations, corporate foundations and giving programs, and community/public foundations.

Private foundations are generally founded by an individual, a group of individuals or a family, and they can be further categorized as family or independent. In a private family foundation, the donor and/or donor's family are actively involved in management of the organization. A private independent foundation has no, or few, family members of the original donor actively involved in governance. There are no legal distinctions between the two, and in this analysis MCF uses the term "private foundation" when referring to both types.

Although operating foundations are also private foundations, MCF does not include them in the *Giving in Minnesota* reports because they do not provide competitive grants. Instead, they use their funds to operate their own programs.

Corporate foundations and giving programs are connected to businesses, and their contributions and grants come from corporations' profits. Some corporations establish foundations (which are legally classified as private but not coded as such in this research). Other companies give through a corporate giving program, which generally is funded through the company's annual budget. In many cases, corporations operate both a foundation and a corporate giving program.

Community/public foundations are publicly supported foundations operated by and for the benefit of a specific community or population, area of interest or geographic area. They manage a variety of funds on behalf of donors, from unrestricted assets to endowed funds to donor-advised funds. Community/public foundations may also manage supporting organizations. Many community/public foundations engage in fund development directly with donors.

For the first time in the 2010 research year, Greater Twin Cities United Way, a public charity grantmaker, was included in *Giving in Minnesota*, giving a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed.

Key Findings

Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition is the most comprehensive analysis of charitable giving in the state. This edition features information on overall giving in the 2010 research year, which MCF defines as including the fiscal years of Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs that end between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011. This is the most recent time period for which complete data are available.

This report includes information about overall giving by foundations, corporations and individuals in Minnesota, along with detailed grantmaking trends for a sample of 100 of the state's largest grantmakers based on grants paid.

The sample is made up of 51 private foundations, 32 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 17 community/public foundations. Although they represent just 7 percent of all grantmakers in Minnesota, these 100 accounted for approximately 85 percent of all grant dollars paid in the state in 2010. To report on grantmaking trends in Minnesota, MCF analyzed 27,171 grants of \$2,000 or more made by the sample, totaling \$967.3 million.

For the first time in 2010, Greater Twin Cities United Way was included in the *Giving in Minnesota* research, providing a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed to nonprofits.

Minnesota Charitable Giving Overview

Total giving in Minnesota grew modestly

In 2010, individuals, foundations and corporate giving programs in Minnesota gave a total of \$5.2 billion in charitable contributions, a 2.6 percent increase from the previous year. Greater individual giving accounted for much of the growth, but foundation and corporate giving grew slightly as well.

Total giving by individuals picked up

The majority of charitable giving in Minnesota – 73 percent of all dollars given in 2010 – comes from individuals. Individual charitable giving rose 3.4 percent from 2009 to 2010 to \$3.8 billion. This was the first increase in individual giving levels since 2007. Overall, individual giving remained 14 percent lower than its high of \$4.4 billion in 2007.

Grantmaking increased slightly

Grantmakers contributed 27 percent of total charitable giving in Minnesota in 2010. Foundation and corporate giving in Minnesota totaled \$1.41 billion, a very slight increase of 0.6 percent from \$1.40 billion in 2009. If Greater Twin Cities United Way had not been included in the research, giving would have declined 4.1 percent to \$1.34 billion.

Corporations led grantmaking

There were 1,467 active grantmakers in the state in 2010, which is nearly equal to the same number in 2009. Eighty-five percent of the grantmakers were private foundations, 9 percent were corporate foundations and giving programs, and 6 percent were community/public foundations.

Although corporate foundations and giving programs make up a small portion of Minnesota grantmakers, historically they have given a large share of overall grant dollars. While they were 9 percent of grantmakers in 2010, they gave 45 percent of all grant dollars. Private foundations, the vast majority of grantmakers in the state, contributed 38 percent of the grant dollars. And as 6 percent of the state's grantmakers, community/public foundations accounted for 17 percent of the total grant dollars.

Community/public foundations were the only grantmaker type to increase giving in 2010, by 47.9 percent to \$240 million. Greater Twin Cities United Way granted \$65.7 million.

As in previous years, most of Minnesota's 2010 grant dollars came from a relatively small number of grantmakers. Less than 3 percent of all grantmakers provided 75 percent of all grant dollars paid (\$1.05 billion), while just over 9 percent of all grantmakers accounted for approximately 90 percent of all grant dollars paid (\$1.24 billion).

Foundation assets continued rebound

Minnesota foundation assets increased 3.8 percent to \$16.85 billion in 2010 from \$16.23 billion in 2009.

Many factors affect year-to-year changes in grantmaking. Declines in foundation assets in one year can lead to lower grantmaking in future years; similarly, increases in one year can lead to increased giving in subsequent years. Foundations typically base their grant levels on a one- to three-year average of past asset performance. The 11.9 percent decline of foundation assets from 2007 to 2008 (which was the largest single-year asset drop since 2002) was a major factor in decreased grantmaking in 2009 and had continued impact in 2010.

Giving Trends of the Top 100 Grantmakers

Grantmaking by the *Giving in Minnesota* sample grew 3 percent to \$967.3 million from 2009 to 2010. If Greater Twin Cities United Way had not been included in the sample, giving would have declined 2 percent.

Giving to five of eight subject areas increased in 2010

As in previous years, in 2010 the three subject areas receiving the largest shares of Minnesota's grant dollars were education, human services, and public affairs/society benefit, at 27 percent, 23 percent, and 16 percent, respectively. Education has captured the largest share of Minnesota's grant dollars in all but three years – 2001, 2005 and 2008 – since MCF began conducting *Giving in Minnesota* studies in 1976.

Giving to five of eight subject areas increased in 2010. Funding for arts, culture and humanities rose 20 percent to \$129.1 million. The education, environment/animals, human services, and religion subject areas grew more modestly, all between 3 and 6 percent.

Funding decreased for international affairs (8 percent), health, and public affairs/society benefit (5 percent each).

Minnesota received a slim majority of grant dollars

In 2010 just over half -- 51 percent, or \$491.7 million -- of the sample's total grant dollars were given to organizations serving Minnesota. The Twin Cities metropolitan area received 31 percent of total grant dollars, and Greater Minnesota and Minnesota statewide each received 10 percent.

Organizations serving areas outside Minnesota, the entire nation, or international areas received 49 percent of grant dollars, or \$475.6 million.

In 2009, organizations serving Minnesota received 48 percent of the giving.

Children and youth top beneficiary groups

Only 55 percent of the sample's grants could be coded to a specific beneficiary group. Of those, the largest shares of dollars went to organizations and programs that serve the following five intended beneficiary groups:

1. Children and youth	24.7 percent	\$244,983,802
2. Adults	8.2 percent	\$81,767,948
3. Poor/economically disadvantaged	5.1 percent	\$50,119,509
4. Other named groups	4.3 percent	\$42,906,768
5. Native Americans/American Indians	2.6 percent	\$26,164,784

Keep in mind that grants that were not coded to a specific beneficiary group may benefit multiple populations.

Shares of program and capital support rose in 2010

Minnesota grantmakers continued to devote the largest share of their grant dollars -- 62 percent, or \$534.4 million -- to program support. General support received 20 percent of grant dollars, or \$176.5 million. Capital support received 9 percent, or \$78 million.

From 2008 to 2010, the share of dollars devoted to program support increased: from 56 percent in 2008 to 62 percent in 2010. The share of general support dollars fell from 23 percent in 2008 to 20 percent in 2010. The share of capital dollars declined markedly from 12 percent in 2008 to 7 percent in 2009, but rose in 2010 to 9 percent.

Minnesota Giving Overview

This section provides an overview of trends in total Minnesota charitable giving by individuals, private foundations, corporate foundations and giving programs, and community/public foundations during the 2010 research year. MCF defines the year 2010 as including the fiscal years of Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs that ends between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011. For more information, see the Methodology section of the report, page 59.

For the purposes of this study, Minnesota grantmakers include a few organizations that are located in border cities such as Hudson, WI, and Fargo, ND, when contributions are made in Minnesota and adjacent states. The Minnesota grant dollars accounted for in this report were distributed geographically within Minnesota, as well as across the U.S. and internationally.

For the first time in 2010, Greater Twin Cities United Way was included in the *Giving in Minnesota* research, giving a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed.

All dollar values in *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition* have been adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Total giving in Minnesota grew modestly

In 2010, individuals, foundations and corporate giving programs in Minnesota gave a total of \$5.2 billion in charitable contributions, a 2.6 percent increase from the previous year (see Table 1 and Figure A). Individual giving grew 3.4 percent to \$3.8 billion in 2010, but still lagged individual giving levels from 2008. Foundation and corporate giving rose very slightly: 0.6 percent to \$1.4 billion.

Individual donors account for 73 percent of charitable giving in the state. Grantmakers provide the remaining 27 percent. Corporate foundations and giving programs were responsible for 12 percent of all giving in 2010, private foundations contributed 10 percent, and community/public foundations accounted for 5 percent (see Figure B).

Individual giving rose, but remains below 2007 peak

Individual charitable giving in Minnesota rose 3.4 percent from 2009 to 2010 to \$3.8 billion¹; this was the first increase in individual giving since 2007. Overall, individual giving remains 14 percent lower than its high of \$4.4 billion in 2007. Nationally, individual charitable giving grew 5.9 percent from 2009 to 2010 (see Table 2 and Figures C and D).

The total number of Minnesotans claiming charitable contributions on tax returns increased three percent in 2010, to 858,665. Of all Minnesotans who filed tax returns, 34 percent claimed charitable contributions in 2010, a slight increase from 2009.

The average total charitable contributions per itemized return for Minnesotans in 2010 was \$3,590, slightly higher than 2009 but down slightly from previous years. The average size of total contributions by Minnesotans in 2010 remained below the national average of \$4,434, a long-term trend. This difference has been related historically to Minnesotans itemizing at a higher rate than the national average, a factor that tends to include more individuals with lower charitable giving levels when calculating the average contribution figure for the state. In 2010, 40 percent of Minnesota residents itemized their federal tax returns; Minnesota ranks sixth out of 50 states for percentage of residents who itemize. In 2010, Minnesota residents gave 2.02 percent of their adjusted gross income to charity; Minnesota ranked 26th out of 50 states, slightly lower than its ranking in previous years. It's important to keep in mind that the range of percent of income given to charity is fairly narrow: Utah residents give the most to charity at 4.8 percent, and Georgia residents, ranked second, give 2.92 percent. New Hampshire residents, ranked last, give 1.25 percent.

¹ Information on individual giving comes from the Internal Revenue Service's Statistics of Income, Individual Income and Tax Data, by State And Size of Adjusted Gross Income, for Tax Year 2010. This IRS source reports summary information about tax returns with charitable deductions that are filed in each state that year.

Grantmaking and foundation assets grew slightly

Foundation and corporate giving in Minnesota totaled \$1.41 billion in 2010, a very slight increase of 0.6 percent from \$1.40 billion in 2009 (see Table 3), but still below the 2008 peak of \$1.44 billion (see Table 4 and Figure E).

Many factors affect year-to-year changes in grantmaking. Declines in foundation assets in one year can lead to lower grantmaking in future years; similarly, asset increases in one year can lead to increased giving in subsequent years. Foundations typically base their grant levels on a one- to three-year average of past asset performance. The 11.9 percent decline of foundation assets from 2007 to 2008 (which was the largest single-year asset drop since 2002) was a major factor in decreased grantmaking in 2009 and had continued impact in 2010.

Minnesota foundation assets increased 3.8 percent to \$16.85 billion in 2010 from \$16.23 billion in 2009 (see Table 8 and Figure I). Assets increased in 2010 for private and community/public foundations, while corporate foundation assets declined slightly (see Table 9 and Figure J).

- Community/public foundation assets increased 13.6 percent to \$3.3 billion in 2010. (If the assets of Greater Twin Cities United Way were not included, community/public foundation assets would have increased 8.7 percent to \$3.1 billion.) But 2010 assets were still 7 percent lower than 2007 levels.
- Corporate foundation assets declined slightly, by 1 percent, year-over-year to \$1.4 billion.
- Private foundation assets grew 2 percent to \$12.3 billion in 2010 compared to 2009.

Only community/public foundations increased giving in 2010

Of the \$1.41 billion granted in 2010, 45 percent was given by corporate foundations and giving programs, 38 percent was contributed by private foundations, and 17 percent came from community/public foundations (see Figure G).

Community/public foundations were the only grantmaker type to increase giving in 2010 (see Table 7 and Figure H):

- Private foundation grantmaking declined 10.4 percent to \$530 million. The change is partially due to several relatively high one-time grants in 2009.
- Corporate grantmakers decreased their grantmaking 1.2 percent to \$636 million.
- Community/public foundation grantmaking grew 47.9 percent to \$240 million. Greater Twin Cities United Way granted \$65.7 million. Several other community/public foundations increased their giving substantially in 2010: The Minneapolis Foundation gave \$9.4 million more in 2010 than in 2009; Lutheran Community Foundation granted \$3.4 million more; and The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation gave \$2.1 million more.

Number of Minnesota grantmakers remained flat

In 2010, Minnesota was home to 1,467 active grantmakers, almost identical to the number in 2009. Eighty-five percent of the grantmakers were private foundations, 9 percent were corporate foundations and giving programs, and 6 percent were community/public foundations (see Table 5 and Figure F).

Although corporate foundations and giving programs made up just 9 percent of Minnesota grantmakers, they continued a long-term trend of accounting for a disproportionately higher percentage of giving: 45 percent of the total grant dollars paid in 2010. While private foundations made up 85 percent of grantmakers, they accounted for just 38 percent of the grant dollars paid. This can be explained in part because the majority of grantmakers giving \$50,000 or less annually are small, private family foundations. Community/public foundations represented 6 percent of the grantmakers in 2010 and paid 17 percent of the total grant dollars.

Most of Minnesota's total grant dollars paid in 2010 came from a relatively small number of grantmakers (see Table 6), continuing a long-term trend. Less than 3 percent of all grantmakers provided 75 percent of all grant dollars paid (\$1.05 billion), and 9.3 percent of all grantmakers accounted for about 90 percent of all grant dollars paid (\$1.24 billion).

Grantmaking by Congressional District

See page 14 for a map of U.S. Congressional Districts in Minnesota in 2010.

As in previous years, in 2010 foundations and corporate grantmakers located in Congressional District 5, which includes Minneapolis and surrounding suburban areas, disbursed the most grant dollars (\$736 million) (see Table 10). The second highest amount was given by grantmakers located in Congressional District 4 (\$259 million), which includes St. Paul and its surrounding suburbs. Grantmakers in District 3, suburban Hennepin County, gave \$234 million. Foundations and giving programs granted less than \$100 million from each of the remaining districts. Grantmakers in Congressional District 7, which covers most of western Minnesota, granted the smallest amount (at \$11 million).

The grant dollars and assets reported by Congressional District are associated with the location of the grantmaker, not the location of the organizations receiving funds or the recipient organizations' service areas. Congressional Districts 5, 3, and 4 had the greatest number of grantmakers. Congressional Districts 5 and 4 were home to the foundations with the highest total assets, followed by Congressional District 3.

Top Five Minnesota Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest grantmakers in 2010, based on grant dollars paid², are listed below.

1. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$131,169,093
2. The McKnight Foundation	\$96,686,049
3. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$87,701,545
4. Greater Twin Cities United Way ³	\$65,668,724
5. Cargill & The Cargill Foundation	\$61,111,057

For complete lists of top Minnesota grantmakers by grants paid in 2010, see Appendices ii through vi.

Giving From Non-Minnesota Foundations⁴

Minnesota nonprofits also receive philanthropic dollars from individuals and foundations located outside of Minnesota. According to the Foundation Center, national foundations not based in Minnesota gave 966 grants worth \$115 million to Minnesota charities in 2010. Twenty-six percent of the funds, or about \$30 million, was given by five foundations.

The five largest national grantmakers giving to Minnesota and the amounts given in 2010 were:

1. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	\$7,400,192
2. Wells Fargo Foundation California ⁵	\$6,563,945
3. The Kresge Foundation	\$5,713,550
4. AT&T Foundation	\$5,343,164
5. The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation	\$4,944,286

For a complete list of the 50 top non-Minnesota grantmakers giving to the state in 2010 see Appendix vii.

² MCF compiles a ranking of the top grantmakers each year based on grants paid. In order to ensure that grantmakers are compared consistently, MCF does not include in-kind or other non-cash contributions. MCF does gather additional information about non-cash contributions; that information is available at www.mcf.org/research/rankings.

³ For the first time in 2010, Greater Twin Cities United Way was included in the *Giving in Minnesota* research, giving a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed.

⁴ The Foundation Center, 2012. Based on grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a national sample of 1,330 larger U.S. foundations (including 800 of the 1,000 largest ranked by total giving). For community foundations, only discretionary grants are included.

⁵ Wells Fargo Foundation California and Wells Fargo Minnesota are separate organizations, both related to Wells Fargo. Wells Fargo Minnesota giving is included in *Giving in Minnesota*.


Table 1 Change in Charitable Giving in Minnesota, 2007–2010*
Dollars in millions

	2007	2008	% Change	2009	% Change	2010	% Change
Total Individual Giving	\$4,395	\$4,059	-7.6%	\$3,636	-10.4%	\$3,759	3.4%
Total Grantmaking	\$1,384	\$1,435	3.7%	\$1,398	-2.6%	\$1,406	0.6%
Total Giving	\$5,780	\$5,494	-4.9%	\$5,033	-8.4%	\$5,165	2.6%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.


Figure A Total Charitable Giving in Minnesota, 2007-2010*
Dollars in millions



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.

Figure B Total Charitable Giving in Minnesota by Type, 2010



Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.


Table 2 Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2007-2010
Dollars in millions

Year	Actual Dollars				Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*			
	Minnesota		National		Minnesota		National	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
2007	\$4,186		\$231,649		\$4,395		\$243,231	
2008	\$4,019	-4.0%	\$207,801	-10.3%	\$4,059	-7.6%	\$209,879	-13.7%
2009	\$3,564	-11.3%	\$192,248	-7.5%	\$3,636	-10.4%	\$196,093	-6.6%
2010	\$3,759	5.5%	\$207,598	8.0%	\$3,759	3.4%	\$207,598	5.9%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.


Figure C Percentage Change in Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2008-2010*



* Actual dollars.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.

Figure D Percentage Change in Giving by Individuals, Minnesota vs. National, 2008-2010, Inflation Adjusted*



*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*; individual giving data from IRS Statistics of Income, Tax Year 2010.

Table 3 Minnesota Grantmaking at a Glance, 2007-2010*
Dollars in millions

	2007	2008	2009	2010
Total Grants Paid	\$1,384.29	\$1,435.27	\$1,397.74	\$1,405.64
Total Foundation Assets	\$17,006.00	\$14,975.88	\$16,231.29	\$16,847.38
Number of Grantmakers	1,429	1,467	1,470	1,467

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.


Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Table 4 Minnesota Grants Paid, 1997-2010
Dollars in millions

Year	Actual Dollars		Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1997	\$613.00		\$833.68	
1998	\$630.00	2.8%	\$844.20	1.3%
1999	\$764.90	21.4%	\$1,002.02	18.7%
2000	\$810.10	5.9%	\$1,028.83	2.7%
2001	\$920.03	13.6%	\$1,131.64	10.0%
2002	\$893.08	-2.9%	\$1,080.63	-4.5%
2003	\$941.07	5.4%	\$1,119.87	3.6%
2004	\$1,041.77	10.7%	\$1,198.03	7.0%
2005	\$1,021.79	-1.9%	\$1,144.41	-4.5%
2006	\$1,162.86	13.8%	\$1,255.89	9.7%
2007	\$1,318.37	13.4%	\$1,384.29	10.2%
2008	\$1,421.06	7.8%	\$1,435.27	3.7%
2009	\$1,370.33	-3.6%	\$1,397.74	-2.6%
2010	\$1,405.64	2.6%	\$1,405.64	0.6%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Figure E Minnesota Grants Paid, 1997-2010
Dollars in millions




* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Table 5 Number of Minnesota Grantmakers by Type, 1999-2010

	1999	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Private	862	1057	1092	1115	1150	1174	1187	1209	1241	1244	1241
Corporate	84	99	104	107	111	119	127	131	134	136	134
Community/Public	61	71	81	74	80	85	84	89	92	90	92
Total	863	1,007	1,227	1,296	1,341	1,378	1,398	1,429	1,467	1,470	1,467


Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Figure F Percentage of Minnesota Grantmakers by Type, 2010



Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure G Minnesota Grant Dollars Paid by Grantmaker Type, 2010




Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 6 Minnesota Grantmakers by Amount of Grants Paid, 2010

Total Grants Paid	Number of Grantmakers	% of Total Grantmakers	Grants Paid	% of Total Grants Paid	Assets	% of Total Assets
Over \$5 million	41	2.8%	\$1,054,260,728	75.0%	\$11,235,574,363	66.7%
\$1-\$5 million	96	6.5%	\$185,434,597	13.2%	\$2,639,641,805	15.7%
Subtotal:	137	9.3%	\$1,239,695,325	88.2%	\$13,875,216,168	82.4%
\$500,000-\$999,999	86	5.9%	\$60,542,074	4.3%	\$924,477,662	5.5%
\$250,000-\$499,999	114	7.8%	\$41,411,423	2.9%	\$651,666,728	3.9%
\$100,000-\$249,999	234	16.0%	\$37,648,759	2.7%	\$815,128,497	4.8%
\$50,000-\$99,999	214	14.6%	\$15,099,845	1.1%	\$268,573,080	1.6%
\$25,000-\$49,999	196	13.4%	\$7,029,908	0.5%	\$147,531,251	0.9%
Under \$25,000	486	33.1%	\$4,212,428	0.3%	\$164,783,181	1.0%
Total	1,467		\$1,405,639,762		\$16,847,376,567	

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure H Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2007-2010*
Dollars in millions



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 7 Change in Minnesota Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2007–2010*
Dollars in millions

	2007	2008	% Change	2009	% Change	2010	% Change
Private	\$604	\$572	-5.3%	\$591	3.4%	\$530	-10.4%
Corporate	\$592	\$675	14.0%	\$644	-4.6%	\$636	-1.2%
Community/Public	\$188	\$188	0.1%	\$162	-13.8%	\$240	47.9%
Total Grantmaking	\$1,384	\$1,435	3.7%	\$1,398	-2.6%	\$1,406	0.6%


* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 8 Minnesota Foundation Assets, 1997-2010
Dollars in billions

Year	Actual Dollars		Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1997	\$8.20		\$11.15	
1998	\$9.12	11.2%	\$12.22	9.6%
1999	\$10.57	15.9%	\$13.85	13.3%
2000	\$12.10	14.5%	\$15.37	11.0%
2001	\$11.36	-6.1%	\$13.97	-9.1%
2002	\$9.93	-12.6%	\$12.01	-14.0%
2003	\$11.69	17.7%	\$13.91	15.7%
2004	\$12.85	10.0%	\$14.78	6.3%
2005	\$13.80	7.4%	\$15.45	4.6%
2006	\$15.40	11.6%	\$16.63	7.6%
2007	\$16.20	5.2%	\$17.01	2.3%
2008	\$14.83	-8.5%	\$14.98	-11.9%
2009	\$15.91	7.3%	\$16.23	8.4%
2010	\$16.85	5.9%	\$16.85	3.8%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure 1 Minnesota Foundation Assets, 1997-2010
Dollars in billions




* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 9 Change in Minnesota Foundation Assets by Grantmaker Type, 2007-2010*
Dollars in billions

	2007	2008	% Change	2009	% Change	2010	% Change
Private	\$12.24	\$11.22	-8.3%	\$12.02	7.1%	\$12.26	2.0%
Corporate	\$1.28	\$1.18	-7.3%	\$1.36	14.7%	\$1.35	-0.9%
Community/Public	\$3.49	\$2.57	-26.3%	\$2.86	11.1%	\$3.25	13.6%
Total Assets	\$17.01	\$14.98	-11.9%	\$16.23	8.4%	\$16.85	3.8%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure J Foundation Assets by Grantmaker Type, 2007-2010*
Dollars in billions



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 10 Minnesota Grantmaking by Congressional District, 2010*

District	Number of Grantmakers	Grants Paid	Assets
1	84	\$31,932,702	\$714,751,307
2	93	\$46,362,022	\$192,097,882
3	370	\$233,529,966	\$3,821,099,616
4	268	\$259,443,651	\$4,431,024,258
5	402	\$736,495,498	\$5,736,706,057
6	60	\$41,480,952	\$729,031,981
7	72	\$10,637,634	\$220,819,543
8	66	\$31,372,688	\$726,406,554

* Grants paid reflect the congressional district of the grantmaker, not the district of the recipient organization.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.



Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends

To provide detailed information on giving trends in Minnesota, each year MCF analyzes the giving of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state, by grants paid. All subsequent analysis in this report is based on the charitable giving of these 100 grantmakers during the 2010 research year, which MCF defines as including the fiscal years of Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs that end between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011.

The 100 grantmakers included in MCF's analysis in 2010 included 51 private foundations, 32 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 17 community/public foundations (see Figure K). Eighty-six of the grantmakers included in the 2010 sample were also included in the 2009 sample. Appendix i provides a complete list of the 2010 grantmakers.

Although these 100 grantmakers represented only 7 percent of all grantmakers in Minnesota, they accounted for \$1.2 billion in charitable giving, or about 85 percent of all grantmaking in the state in 2010. As a group, their assets were \$13.3 billion in 2010, a 6-percent increase from 2009.

The sampling base for this year's report includes 27,171 grants of \$2,000 or more awarded in 2010 by these 100 large Minnesota grantmakers. These grants totaled \$967.3 million and represented 81 percent of the total giving of the 100 grantmakers.

For the first time in 2010, Greater Twin Cities United Way, a public charity grantmaker, was included in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample, giving a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed. United Way was the fourth largest grantmaker in Minnesota in 2010.

Of the 27,171 grants coded for the *Giving in Minnesota* research:

- 56 percent were made by corporate grantmakers;
- 23 percent were made by private foundations;
- 21 percent were made by community/public foundations (see Figure L).

Of the \$967.3 million included in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample research:

- 43 percent were granted by corporate grantmakers;
- 38 percent were granted by private foundations;
- 19 percent were granted by community/public foundations (see Figure M and Table 11).

In 2010, the average grant size in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample declined by 4.6 percent, to \$32,004. Private foundations have continued to provide larger average grants (\$58,328) than community/public foundations (\$29,244) and corporate grantmakers (\$21,862). Average grant size for community/public foundations increased nearly 44 percent in 2010, primarily because of the inclusion of Greater Twin Cities United Way in the sample (see Table 12.)

Grantmaking by the *Giving in Minnesota* sample grew 3 percent to \$967.3 million from 2009 to 2010. If United Way had not been included in the sample, it would have declined 2 percent. In the Foundation Center's national sample, grant dollars decreased 7 percent from 2009 to 2010.¹

Top Five Minnesota Grantmaking Recipients


The following nonprofit organizations received the highest amount of grant dollars from Minnesota's 100 largest grantmakers in 2010. The Minnesota Orchestral Association, new to this list, undertook a capital campaign that year.

1.	Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$19,956,051 ²
2.	University of Minnesota	\$18,975,581
3.	Minnesota Orchestral Association	\$17,498,240
4.	ClimateWorks Foundation (CA)	\$13,001,098
5.	Minnesota Medical Foundation	\$11,161,212

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends, 2012 Edition*. (Includes grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger U.S. foundations.)


² Greater Twin Cities United Way, a public charity grantmaker, receives grants from foundations and corporate grantmakers and also awards competitive grants.

Figure K Grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Type, 2010



Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.


Figure L Number of Grants Made by Grantmakers in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Type, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure M Share of Grant Dollars Paid by the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Grantmaker Type, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 11 Grants Paid by Grantmaker Type in the Giving in Minnesota Sample, 2002-2010*
Dollars in millions

Year	Private			Corporate			Community/Public			Total	
	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers	Share of Grants Paid	Amount	Number of Grantmakers**	Amount
2002	59	50%	\$366.3	27	37%	\$269.2	15	13%	\$96.9	101	\$732.4
2003	51	40%	\$326.7	34	42%	\$347.2	15	18%	\$150.3	100	\$824.2
2004	54	48%	\$409.5	31	37%	\$318.1	15	15%	\$124.3	100	\$851.9
2005	52	50%	\$395.9	34	37%	\$289.8	14	13%	\$103.2	100	\$788.9
2006	52	43%	\$377.6	34	43%	\$374.8	14	15%	\$128.3	100	\$880.7
2007	50	42%	\$406.8	34	43%	\$412.1	16	15%	\$144.9	100	\$963.8
2008	51	40%	\$385.0	34	45%	\$437.8	15	15%	\$149.3	100	\$972.1
2009	55	44%	\$415.8	33	43%	\$402.3	12	13%	\$121.1	100	\$939.2
2010	51	38%	\$368.4	32	43%	\$415.3	17	19%	\$183.6	100	\$967.3

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

** Prior to 2003, all grantmakers that awarded \$1 million or more in grants were included in the sample for analysis.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 12 Average Grant Size in the Giving in Minnesota Sample by Grantmaker Type, 2005-2010*

Year	Private		Corporate		Community/Public		Total	% Change
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change		
2005	\$68,452		\$21,898		\$19,215		\$33,140	
2006	\$63,747	-6.9%	\$22,315	1.9%	\$18,549	-3.5%	\$30,815	-7.0%
2007	\$66,235	3.9%	\$24,348	9.1%	\$19,555	5.4%	\$32,573	5.7%
2008	\$67,493	1.9%	\$24,430	0.3%	\$20,257	3.6%	\$32,307	-0.8%
2009	\$63,836	-5.4%	\$22,412	-8.3%	\$20,355	0.5%	\$33,543	3.8%
2010	\$58,328	-8.6%	\$21,862	-2.5%	\$29,244	43.7%	\$32,004	-4.6%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Grantmaking by Subject Area

- *In 2010, funding for five of eight subject areas increased.*
- *Grant dollars for arts, culture and humanities rose 20 percent from 2009.*
- *As in previous years, Minnesota grantmakers, as compared to national grantmakers, gave a proportionally higher share of grant dollars to human services and a lower share to health.*

This section provides a detailed analysis by subject category of the *Giving in Minnesota* sample, which includes \$967.3 million in grants of \$2,000 or more made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state.

To analyze trends by subject area, MCF uses the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) coding system to classify grants into eight major subject areas: arts, culture and humanities; education; environment/animals; health; human services; international affairs; public affairs/society benefit; and religion. For more information on subcategories within each subject area, see Appendix x. For more information on the NTEE coding system, see the Methodology section on page 59.

MCF codes subject areas according to grant descriptions. When no description is available, a grant is coded according to the purpose of the recipient organization. For example, a grant made to the University of Minnesota for the purpose of medical research would be coded as health, reflecting the intended use of the funds. But a grant made to the University with no specific description attached would be coded as education.

Giving to five of eight subject areas increased in 2010

Overall, the dollars included in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample grew 3 percent, to \$967.3 million, from 2009 to 2010. If Greater Twin Cities United Way had not been included in the sample, giving would have declined 2 percent.

Giving to five of eight subject areas increased in 2010 (see Table 13). Funding for arts, culture and humanities rose 20 percent to \$129.1 million. The education, environment/animals, human services, and religion subject areas grew more modestly, all between 3 and 6 percent.

Funding decreased for international affairs (8 percent), health, and public affairs/society benefit (5 percent each).

As in previous years, the three subject areas receiving the largest

shares of Minnesota's grant dollars were education (27 percent), human services (23 percent), and public affairs/society benefit (16 percent) (see Figure N). Education has captured the largest share of Minnesota's grant dollars in all but three years – 2001, 2005 and 2008 – since MCF began conducting *Giving in Minnesota* studies in 1976. Over time the shares of grant funding that each subject area receives has remained relatively stable. Since 2008, no subject area share has changed by more than 3 percentage points (see Figure O).

Grantmaker types tend to support the same subject areas over time

Private, corporate, and community/public grantmakers tend to grant to the same subject categories over time (see Appendix ix). As in every year since 2006, in 2010 private foundations provided the largest shares of grant dollars given to these four subject areas: environment/animals (77 percent), international affairs (67 percent), health (48 percent), and human services (37 percent) (see Table 14 and Figure P).

In 2010, as in every year since 2006, corporate grantmakers contributed the largest shares of grant dollars given to education (57 percent), arts, culture and humanities (49 percent), and public affairs/society benefit (48 percent).

As in previous years, community/public foundations supplied the largest share of grant dollars given to religion (48 percent). Two community foundations included in the sample, Catholic Community Foundation and Lutheran Community Foundation, have religious affiliations, and many donor-advised grants from community/public foundations are directed to the religion subject area.

Because the 2010 sample of 100 grantmakers included only 17 community/public foundations, this grantmaker type provided the smallest amount of giving in the sample overall (19 percent) and contributed the lowest shares of grant dollars given to the seven other subject categories.

See Appendices viii and ix for additional information about each grantmaker type's share of total giving to each subject category.

Minnesota grantmakers gave a higher share of grant dollars to human services than national grantmakers gave

As in previous years, in 2010 shares of Minnesota grantmaking were similar to shares of U.S. grantmaking for six of eight subject categories (see Table 15 and Figure Q). Notable differences continued to exist in the shares given to health and human services. In Minnesota, the human services subject area received the second largest share of grant dollars, at 23 percent, while nationally, it was granted a 15-percent share. Conversely, health received a 22-percent share of national grant dollars and 10 percent of Minnesota grant dollars.


One reason Minnesota grantmakers fund health at relatively lower levels is that very few top national health funders are based in Minnesota. According to the Foundation Center, only one Minnesota-based grantmaker, the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation, makes the list of top 50 U.S. foundations awarding health grants in 2010.¹

Education received the largest share of Minnesota grant dollars and national giving in 2010, at 27 percent and 24 percent, respectively.

Care should be taken in making direct comparisons between national and Minnesota grantmaking data. The data differ in several ways. First, MCF and the Foundation Center use different sample methodologies: MCF's sample is made up of all grants of \$2,000 or more made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota for which information can be obtained, while the Foundation Center's sample is made up of all grants of \$10,000 or more made by 1,330 larger foundations around the country. Second, the Foundation Center does not include data on corporate giving programs, while MCF does. Third, each organization uses different fiscal years: while MCF analyzed grants made in the fiscal years that ended between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011, the Foundation Center analyzed grants reported between October 2010 and September 2011.

¹ *Top 50 U.S. Foundations Awarding Grants for Health, circa 2010*, Foundation Center, 2012. The Foundation Center lists grants from the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation as the total amount authorized for grants, which may be paid in several installments over a period of years. Therefore, the amount is greater than the foundation's total giving for the 2010 fiscal year, as reported by MCF.

Figure N Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 13 Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2008-2010*


	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Arts, Culture & Humanities	\$118,236,950	12%	\$107,818,250	11%	\$129,060,409	13%
Education	\$245,193,542	25%	\$248,010,123	26%	\$256,508,574	27%
Environment/Animals	\$56,649,700	6%	\$56,077,520	6%	\$58,777,330	6%
Health	\$101,943,547	10%	\$100,526,304	11%	\$95,687,367	10%
Human Services	\$252,480,334	26%	\$213,127,838	23%	\$220,989,847	23%
International Affairs	\$20,951,937	2%	\$20,046,552	2%	\$18,393,331	2%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	\$145,540,589	15%	\$165,515,159	18%	\$158,027,293	16%
Religion	\$30,978,617	3%	\$27,940,874	3%	\$29,737,795	3%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure O Share of Minnesota Grantmaking by Subject Area, 2008-2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.


Table 14 Share of Minnesota Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, 2010*

	Arts, Culture & Humanities	Education	Environment/ Animals	Health	Human Services	International Affairs	Public Affairs/ Society Benefit	Religion
Private	34%	27%	77%	48%	37%	67%	37%	34%
Corporate	49%	57%	15%	33%	36%	21%	48%	19%
Community/Public	17%	15%	8%	20%	26%	12%	15%	48%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Figure P Share of Minnesota Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, 2010*

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Table 15 Share of Grant Dollars by Subject Area, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2009-2010***


	Minnesota		National	
	2009	2010	2009	2010
Arts, Culture & Humanities	11%	13%	11%	11%
Education	26%	27%	23%	24%
Environment/Animals	6%	6%	7%	7%
Health	11%	10%	23%	22%
Human Services	23%	23%	13%	15%
International Affairs	2%	2%	6%	4%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	18%	16%	15%	16%
Religion	3%	3%	2%	2%

* Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2012*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

*** Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Figure Q Share of Grant Dollars by Subject Area, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2010***



* Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2012*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

*** Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Arts, Culture and Humanities

- *Arts, culture and humanities grant dollars increased 20 percent in 2010 to \$129.1 million.*
- *Private, corporate, and community/public grantmakers all increased giving to this subject area.*
- *Funding to the museums subcategory increased 76 percent to \$24.1 million in 2010, following a 32-percent rise in 2009.*

Grantmaking to arts, culture and humanities includes contributions to the performing arts, museums, media/communications, cultural organizations, historical societies, visual arts, humanities, and arts services.

Arts grant dollars increased 20 percent in 2010

From 2009 to 2010, arts, culture and humanities funding increased 20 percent to \$129.1 million (see Figure R). Arts, culture and humanities grant dollars increased more dramatically than those for any of the eight major subject areas (see Table 13).

The 2010 increase came after an 18-percent decline from 2004 to 2009. This pattern of a steep decline in the midst of a recession, followed by a pronounced rise, mirrors the pattern seen during the 2001 recession and subsequent recovery. Donations from numerous grantmakers to the Minnesota Orchestral Association's capital campaign contributed to the increase, as did donations from several grantmakers to Smithsonian Institution museums.

The arts, culture and humanities subject area received 13 percent of the total grant dollars given in 2010 by the *Giving in Minnesota* sample. The national share of grant dollars given to the arts was 11 percent, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure S). Historically, the share of Minnesota giving to the arts outpaced the national average, but in recent years Minnesota grantmakers have given a similar percentage.

All grantmaker types increased funding for arts, culture and humanities

Corporate grantmakers gave 49 percent of the arts, culture and humanities grant dollars, while private foundations granted 34 percent (see Table 16 and Figure T). Community and public foundations gave 17 percent of grant dollars to this subject area.

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Private foundation giving to the arts increased by 15 percent to \$44.2 million.
- Corporate grantmakers increased their giving to the arts to \$62.7 million, a 9-percent rise.

- Community/public foundations increased their giving by 85 percent to \$22.2 million. Several large gifts from The Minneapolis Foundation to the Minnesota Orchestral Association, Yale University and The Guthrie Theater, as well as a gift from The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation to the Arts Partnership, drove most of this increase.

Museum funding increased 76 percent in 2010

As in past years, performing arts received the largest share of arts grant dollars in 2010 at \$47.6 million (see Figure U and Table 17). Giving to performing arts increased 25 percent from 2009. Much of this increase can be attributed to grants to the Minnesota Orchestral Association's capital campaign.

The museums subcategory grew 76 percent to \$24.1 million, following 2009's 32-percent increase. Giving by various grantmakers to several Smithsonian Institution museums, including the National Museum of African American History and Culture from Target Foundation and Corporation, contributed to this increase. Museums received the second highest share of arts funding.

A number of smaller arts, culture and humanities subcategories posted high growth rates in 2010: humanities giving rose 62 percent to \$2.9 million and historical societies rose 45 percent to \$4.8 million.

Funding to the other arts/cultural organizations subcategory, which includes non-direct services such as equal access (including museum free days and similar programs) and fundraising, declined 7 percent to \$22.5 million.

Largest arts grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest arts grantmakers in 2010 are listed below. These five organizations granted 54 percent of all dollars given to the arts by the 100 grantmakers in the sample in 2010. 3M Foundation and Corporation joins this list for the first time in recent years, and the Bush Foundation does not appear, following its change in strategic priorities.

1.	Target Foundation and Corporation	\$33,865,954
2.	The Minneapolis Foundation	\$12,537,246
3.	The McKnight Foundation	\$9,699,000
4.	The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$8,087,762
5.	3M Foundation and Corporation	\$4,941,189

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.


Top arts grant recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's arts grant dollars in 2010 are listed below. These five organizations received 31 percent of the arts dollars granted by the sample in 2010.

1.	Minnesota Orchestral Association	\$17,498,240
2.	Smithsonian Institution (Washington, DC)	\$8,307,750
3.	Walker Art Center	\$5,369,050
4.	Yale University (CT) ²	\$4,350,000
5.	The Guthrie Theater	\$4,043,840


² The Minneapolis Foundation granted Yale University funds for art gallery renovations; this was a donor-advised gift. Also, the Robina Foundation gave funds to the Yale School of Drama.

Figure R Minnesota Arts Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Figure S Share of Arts Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends, 2012*. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 16 Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$39,940,701	34%	\$38,373,523	36%	\$44,187,826	34%
Corporate	\$60,879,211	51%	\$57,447,781	53%	\$62,671,501	49%
Community/Public	\$17,417,037	15%	\$11,996,946	11%	\$22,201,082	17%
Total	\$118,236,950	100%	\$107,818,250	100%	\$129,060,409	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.


Figure T Share of Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure U Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 17 Minnesota Arts Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Arts/Cultural Organizations - Multipurpose	\$17,158,811	15%	\$10,386,141	10%	\$10,288,724	8%
Arts Services	\$1,751,947	1%	\$3,245,211	3%	\$3,505,874	3%
Historical Societies	\$5,092,376	4%	\$3,308,509	3%	\$4,799,828	4%
Humanities	\$2,861,945	2%	\$1,787,616	2%	\$2,903,466	2%
Media/Communications	\$12,220,772	10%	\$10,102,412	9%	\$9,763,682	8%
Museums	\$10,338,157	9%	\$13,657,590	13%	\$24,081,027	19%
Other Arts/Cultural Organizations	\$25,605,659	22%	\$24,152,100	22%	\$22,480,151	17%
Performing Arts	\$39,384,667	33%	\$38,122,646	35%	\$47,561,967	37%
Visual Arts	\$3,822,616	3%	\$3,056,027	3%	\$3,675,690	3%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Education

- Education grantmaking increased 3 percent in 2010, to \$256.5 million.
- Among the eight major subject areas, education received the largest share of grant dollars.
- Giving to the elementary/secondary education subcategory rose 12 percent from 2009 levels.

Education grantmaking includes contributions to educational institutions (schools, colleges and universities), libraries, and other organizations that provide education-related services. Other recipients include alumni associations, English-as-a-Second-Language (ESL) programs and adult literacy services.

Education grantmaking increased 3 percent in 2010

In 2010, the *Giving in Minnesota* sample awarded \$256.5 million in grants to education, a 3-percent increase over 2009 (see Figure V). Of the eight major subject areas, education captured the largest share of Minnesota grant dollars at 27 percent (see Table 13 and Figure O). Education has received the largest share of Minnesota's grant dollars in all but three years – 2001, 2005 and 2008 – since MCF began conducting *Giving in Minnesota* studies in 1976.

Minnesota's 27-percent share of grant dollars for education was higher than the national 24-percent share, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure W). Minnesota has outpaced the national average for education giving every year since 2005.

Corporate and community/public giving to education rose

Corporate grantmakers remained the largest donors to education, providing 57 percent of education grant dollars (see Table 18 and Figure X). Private foundations granted 27 percent of education dollars, and community and public foundations gave 15 percent.

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Corporate grantmaking to education increased to \$146.9 million, a 9-percent rise from 2009. General Mills Foundation and Corporation gave \$8 million more to education in 2010 than in 2009; Target Foundation and Corporation and Travelers Companies, Inc. and Travelers Foundation increased their education giving by \$5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.
- Private grantmakers gave \$70.1 million to education, a 20-percent decline from 2009 levels. Much of the decline can be attributed to the change in giving by the L. and N. Andreas Foundation: it gave more than \$14 million to education alone in 2009, but just \$2.4 million overall in 2010.
- Community/public foundation giving to education increased 57 percent to \$39.5 million from 2009. Greater Twin Cities

United Way, which was included in the sample for the first time, contributed \$8.3 million to education, or 3.2 percent of all education grant dollars. Additionally, The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation gave \$4.3 million more to education in 2010 than in 2009, while the Hormel Foundation granted \$2.5 million more.

Giving to elementary/secondary education grew 12 percent

In 2010 as in previous years, elementary/secondary education received the largest share of education grant dollars (see Figure Y and Table 19). Elementary/secondary education dollars increased 12 percent to \$102.2 million. Grants from Greater Twin Cities United Way and General Mills Foundation and Corporation drove this rise.

The higher education and professional schools subcategory received the second-highest share of education grant dollars, \$55.4 million in 2010. This represented a 19-percent decrease in funding, which was primarily caused by less giving in 2010 by the L. and N. Andreas Foundation.

The student services and organizations of students subcategory grew by 17 percent to \$53.5 million, largely because of grants from Greater Twin Cities United Way.

Largest education grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest education grantmakers in 2010 are listed below. These five grantmakers gave 54 percent of the total education dollars in the sample.

1. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$54,685,933
2. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$51,294,235
3. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$11,809,697
4. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$9,994,500
5. Bush Foundation	\$9,509,974


Top education grant recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's education grant dollars in 2010 were awarded 12 percent of the sample's funding to that subject area. As part of the Target School Library Makeover Program, Target gave multiple grants to Heart of America Foundation, an organization that promotes literacy.

1. University of Minnesota	\$9,672,711
2. Scholarship America	\$8,672,528
3. Heart of America Foundation (Washington, DC)	\$4,822,500
4. University of St. Thomas	\$4,794,741
5. St. Paul Public Schools	\$3,868,666


¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.

Figure V Minnesota Education Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure W Share of Education Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 18 Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*


	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$77,783,918	32%	\$87,421,664	35%	\$70,104,185	27%
Corporate	\$140,719,495	57%	\$135,375,917	55%	\$146,912,801	57%
Community/Public	\$26,690,129	11%	\$25,212,542	10%	\$39,491,588	15%
Total	\$245,193,542	100%	\$248,010,123	100%	\$256,508,574	100%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition

Figure X Share of Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*




* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure Y Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 19 Minnesota Education Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Adult/Continuing Education	\$290,571	<1%	\$548,525	<1%	\$595,904	<1%
Elementary/Secondary Education	\$98,715,391	40%	\$91,585,038	37%	\$102,168,315	40%
Higher Education & Professional Schools	\$48,567,125	20%	\$68,580,083	28%	\$55,409,854	22%
Libraries/Library Science	\$8,204,555	3%	\$6,889,809	3%	\$8,360,676	3%
Student Services & Organizations of Students	\$49,579,942	20%	\$45,824,838	18%	\$53,548,027	21%
Other Education	\$38,802,093	16%	\$34,262,202	14%	\$36,158,702	14%
Vocational/Technical Schools	\$1,033,865	<1%	\$319,627	<1%	\$267,096	<1%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Environment/Animals

- *Funding for environment/animals increased 5 percent to \$58.8 million in 2010.*
- *Private foundations increased their giving to this subject area by 11 percent over 2009.*
- *The largest environment/animals funder, The McKnight Foundation, gave 48 percent of all dollars given to the subject area by the sample.*

The environment/animals subject area includes two broad categories. Environment grants go to programs and organizations that focus on preservation and protection of the environment, botanical gardens and societies, urban beautification, and environmental education. Animals grants go to organizations that focus on the care and protection of animals, including wildlife, pets and specialty animals. Examples of such organizations include animal population control agencies, bird sanctuaries and zoos.

Giving to environment/animals rose 5 percent

Grantmakers gave \$58.8 million to environment/animals in 2010 (see Figure Z). This represents a 5-percent increase following a 2009 decline of 2 percent. These shifts follow a longer-term trend of rapid growth in giving to environment/animals, which increased 135 percent from 2003 to 2008.

In 2010, the environment/animals share of the overall grant dollars given by the *Giving in Minnesota* sample remained flat at 6 percent (see Figure N and Table 13). Minnesota's share of grant dollars to this subject area is slightly lower than the national share of 6.6 percent, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure AA). Since 2004, the share of Minnesota grant dollars dedicated to environment/animals has grown closer to the national share.

Private foundations provided more than three quarters of environment/animals funding

Private foundations in Minnesota continued to be the source of the majority of grant dollars given to environment/animals, providing 77 percent of this subject area's total (see Table 20 and Figure AB). Corporate grantmakers gave 15 percent, and community/public foundations granted 8 percent.

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Private foundation giving to environment/animals increased 11 percent to \$45.4 million. The McKnight Foundation was, by far, the largest private grantmaker to this subject area, giving 48 percent of all environment/animals dollars.
- Corporate grantmakers gave \$8.6 million to environment/animals, a decrease of 24 percent. Cargill & The Cargill Foundation gave \$3 million less to this subject area in 2010 than in 2009.

- Community/public foundations provided \$4.8 million. Although small in comparison to giving from private and corporate grantmakers, funding from community/public foundations rose 28 percent in 2010, following a 45-percent decrease in 2009.

Natural resources, conservation and protection subcategory grew 11 percent

Environment subcategories received four-fifths of the grant dollars given to this subject area. While environment subcategories received \$50.4 million, animals received \$8.4 million.

As in previous years, the natural resources, conservation and protection subcategory received the largest share – nearly half – of environment/animals grant dollars (see Figure AC and Table 21). This represents \$27.8 million, an increase of 11 percent from 2009. The Blandin Foundation drove this increase by granting \$6.9 million to The Conservation Fund for land conservation in Itasca county.

Pollution abatement and control services received the second-largest share of grant dollars in this subject area, or \$15.9 million, an increase of 13 percent over 2009. The McKnight Foundation increased its giving to this subcategory by \$2.2 million.

Largest Environment/Animals Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest environment/animals grantmakers in 2010 are listed below. These five funders granted 72 percent of all dollars given to environment/animals by the sample. The McKnight Foundation continues to be the top grantmaker in this area; Blandin Foundation appears on this list for the first time since 2007.

1.	The McKnight Foundation	\$28,012,309
2.	Blandin Foundation	\$7,055,100
3.	The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$2,960,861
4.	3M/3M Foundation	\$2,068,222
5.	Margaret A. Cargill Foundation	\$2,028,465

Top Environment/Animals Grant Recipients


The top five recipients of Minnesota's environment/animals grant dollars in 2010 are listed below. These five organizations received 49 percent of the sample's environment/animals grant dollars.

1.	ClimateWorks Foundation (CA)	\$13,001,098
2.	The Conservation Fund	\$6,900,000
3.	Energy Foundation (CA)	\$5,000,000
4.	Minnesota Zoo Foundation	\$2,136,250
5.	Marquette University (WI) ²	\$1,800,000

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.


² GHR Foundation granted \$1 million to Marquette University for the Discovering Energy Lab.

Figure Z Minnesota Environment/Animals Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AA Share of Environment/Animals Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.


** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 20 Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$36,897,468	65%	\$41,018,780	73%	\$45,404,347	77%
Corporate	\$12,933,741	23%	\$11,309,467	20%	\$8,566,290	15%
Community/Public	\$6,818,491	12%	\$3,749,273	7%	\$4,806,693	8%
Total	\$56,649,700	100%	\$56,077,520	100%	\$58,777,330	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.


Figure AB Share of Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AC Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 21 Minnesota Environment/Animals Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Animal Protection & Welfare	\$2,226,843	4%	\$1,809,309	3%	\$1,727,433	3%
Botanical, Horticultural & Landscape Services	\$914,284	2%	\$864,732	2%	\$910,343	2%
Environmental Education & Outdoor Survival	\$1,468,839	3%	\$1,365,375	2%	\$2,171,763	4%
General Environmental Programs	\$4,926,770	9%	\$4,554,958	8%	\$3,094,993	5%
Natural Resources Conservation & Protection	\$25,843,844	46%	\$25,059,063	45%	\$27,793,116	47%
Other Animal-Related	\$3,293,333	6%	\$3,483,123	6%	\$1,478,694	3%
Other Environmental	\$902,434	2%	\$806,855	1%	\$516,048	1%
Pollution Abatement & Control Services	\$9,992,829	18%	\$14,099,875	25%	\$15,932,763	27%
Wildlife Preservation & Protection	\$4,737,113	8%	\$2,680,744	5%	\$1,567,482	3%
Zoos/Zoological Societies	\$2,343,411	4%	\$1,353,488	2%	\$3,584,695	6%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Health

- *Funding for health declined 5 percent to \$95.7 million in 2010.*
- *Nationally, health captured the second-largest share of grant dollars, while in Minnesota the health share ranked fifth.*
- *The general and rehabilitative health subcategory received the largest share of grant dollars within the subject area.*

Health grantmaking includes four subcategories: general and rehabilitative health (which includes funding for hospitals and nursing homes, primary care, and outpatient facilities); mental health/crisis intervention; multipurpose health (which includes funding for services associated with specific diseases); and medical research.

Health grantmaking declined for second year in 2010

Grantmakers in Minnesota gave \$95.7 million to the health subject area in 2010, a decrease of 5 percent from 2009 (see Figure AD). Health grantmaking has dropped 6 percent since its peak in 2008.

Funding for health has long been a significantly smaller portion of overall Minnesota giving than U.S. giving, and 2010 was no exception (see Figure AE). In 2010 health captured the second-largest share of national grant dollars (22 percent), as tracked by the Foundation Center¹, and the fifth-largest Minnesota share (10 percent) (see Figure N and Table 15).

One reason Minnesota grantmakers fund health at relatively lower levels is that very few top national health funders are based in Minnesota. According to the Foundation Center, only one Minnesota grantmaker, the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation, makes the list of top 50 U.S. foundations supporting health². The three top national grantmakers to health are the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (WA), the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (NJ), and the Susan Thompson Buffett Foundation (NE).

Community/public foundation giving to health rose, while private and corporate grantmaking declined

As in past years, private foundations provided the largest share of funding for health (48 percent) (see Table 22 and Figure AF). Corporate grantmakers gave 33 percent, and community/public foundations contributed 20 percent.

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Private foundations gave \$45.5 million to health, decreasing giving 16 percent. The Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation gave \$4 million less to health in 2010 than in 2009; several other private foundations also shrank their health giving.

- Corporate grantmakers' contributions to health declined 12 percent in 2010 to \$31.3 million. A general decline in corporate giving to health was the primary driver.
- Community/public foundations increased health giving 73 percent to \$18.9 million. This increase was primarily due to the addition of Greater Twin Cities United Way to the sample for the first time; that organization contributed \$6.9 million, or 7 percent, of all health funding.

General and rehabilitative health received the largest share of health grant dollars

As in previous years, the general and rehabilitative health subcategory received the largest share of health dollars (see Table 23 and Figure AG). More than half of health dollars, or \$50 million, was given to this area.

Multipurpose health funding increased 38 percent to \$22.7 million, while medical research grant dollars dropped 33 percent to \$13.9 million. These changes were primarily caused by the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation moving cancer funding from medical research to therapies, which are classified under multipurpose health.

Largest Health Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest health grantmakers in 2010 gave 42 percent of the total health funding by the sample.

1. The Medtronic Foundation	\$11,635,334
2. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$10,113,617
3. Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$6,879,308
4. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$6,824,327
5. The McKnight Foundation	\$4,274,000

Top Health Grant Recipients


The top five recipients of Minnesota's health giving in 2010 were granted 31 percent of the sample's health funds. They are:

1. Minnesota Medical Foundation	\$11,035,595
2. Mayo Foundation and Clinic	\$6,280,634
3. University of Minnesota	\$4,071,450
4. Abbott Northwestern Hospital & Foundation	\$4,042,177
5. The McKnight Endowment Fund for Neuroscience	\$3,992,000

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.


² *Top 50 U.S. Foundations Awarding Grants for Health, circa 2010*, Foundation Center, 2012. The Foundation Center lists grants from the Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation as the total amount authorized for grants, which may be paid in several installments over a period of years. Therefore, the amount is greater than the foundation's total giving for the 2010 fiscal year, as reported by MCF.

Figure AD Minnesota Health Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AE Share of Health Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 22 Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*


	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$47,040,860	46%	\$53,989,788	54%	\$45,455,757	48%
Corporate	\$34,450,080	34%	\$35,615,472	35%	\$31,301,113	33%
Community/Public	\$20,452,607	20%	\$10,921,044	11%	\$18,930,497	20%
Total	\$101,943,547	100%	\$100,526,304	100%	\$95,687,367	100%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100% All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AF Share of Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*




* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AG Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 23 Minnesota Health Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
General & Rehabilitative Health	\$58,507,144	57%	\$52,003,556	52%	\$50,045,812	52%
Medical Research	\$8,897,958	9%	\$20,873,025	21%	\$13,934,521	15%
Mental Health/Crisis Intervention	\$14,120,850	14%	\$11,162,218	11%	\$8,970,040	9%
Multipurpose Health	\$20,417,595	20%	\$16,487,505	16%	\$22,736,994	24%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Human Services

- Human services funding increased 4 percent to \$221 million in 2010.
- Greater Twin Cities United Way, which was new to the sample this year, gave \$30.2 million to human services, or 14 percent of the total. If these grant dollars were not included in the sample, human services giving would have declined 10 percent from 2009.
- The human services subject area captured a 23-percent share of Minnesota grant dollars. This share is the second largest of eight subject areas.

The human services subject area consists of the following subcategories: multipurpose human services; housing/shelter; youth development; public safety, disaster preparedness and relief; food, nutrition and agriculture; public protection; employment/jobs; and recreation, leisure and sports.

Greater Twin Cities United Way provided 14 percent of human services grant dollars

Grantmakers in the sample gave \$221 million to human services in 2010, a 4-percent rise from 2009 giving levels, which had been the lowest since 2006 (see Figure AH). For the first time in 2010, Greater Twin Cities United Way, a public charity grantmaker, was included in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample, giving a more complete picture of total grant dollars distributed. United Way contributed \$30.2 million to human services, or 14 percent of the total. If these grant dollars were not included in the sample, human services giving would have declined by 10 percent from 2009.

Human services garnered a 23-percent share of total grant dollars given by the sample, the second-largest share among eight subject areas (see Table 13 and Figure N). Since 1997, Minnesota grantmakers have devoted a greater portion of their grant dollars to this subject area than have grantmakers nationally. In 2010, the share of human services grantmaking nationally was 15 percent, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure AI).

Private and corporate giving to human services decreased for second consecutive year

Private foundations provided 37 percent of human services grant dollars, while corporate grantmakers gave 36 percent and community/public foundations granted 26 percent (see Table 24 and Figure AJ).

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Private foundations gave \$82.7 million to human services, a decline of 20 percent from 2009. Several factors contributed to this drop: four fewer private foundations were included in the sample in 2010 than in 2009; the Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation gave \$8 million less to human services in 2010 than

in 2009; and many other private grantmakers decreased their giving to human services, including Bush Foundation and Northwest Area Foundation.

- Corporate grantmakers reduced their funding for human services by 2 percent to \$79.9 million.
- Community/public foundations gave \$58.4 million to human services, a 112-percent increase from 2009. Greater Twin Cities United Way provided most of this increase. If United Way grant dollars were not included, community/public foundation giving would have risen 2.2 percent.

Youth development and food, nutrition and agriculture subcategories grew strongly

The multipurpose human services subcategory, which includes funding for human service organizations, social and family services, and residential and custodial care, received the largest share of grant dollars at \$80.7 million (see Figure AK and Table 25).

The food, nutrition and agriculture subcategory rose 30 percent in 2010 to \$29.7 million. Giving by Greater Twin Cities United Way and Cargill & The Cargill Foundation drove this increase. The youth development subcategory grew 23 percent to \$30.3 million, with giving by Greater Twin Cities United Way again fueling the increase.

The employment/jobs subcategory declined 22 percent to \$14.2 million after a 99-percent jump in 2009. The Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation gave more than \$8 million in one-time grants to this subcategory in 2009 in response to the recession.

Largest human services grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest human services grantmakers in 2010 are listed below. These five grantmakers granted 44 percent of all dollars given to human services by the sample.

1. Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$30,223,362
2. The McKnight Foundation	\$24,525,500
3. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$14,849,343
4. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$14,460,839
5. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$13,484,606


¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.

Top human services grant recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's human services grant dollars in 2010 were awarded 10 percent of the sample's funding to that subject area. They are:


1.	Family Housing Fund	\$6,179,200
2.	Greater Minnesota Housing Fund	\$4,940,000
3.	Feeding America (IL)	\$3,552,143
4.	Catholic Charities of St. Paul and Minneapolis	\$3,523,680
5.	Boy Scouts of America - Northern Star Council	\$2,807,703

Figure AH Minnesota Human Services Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AI Share of Human Services Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 24 Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$109,057,014	43%	\$103,976,481	49%	\$82,711,417	37%
Corporate	\$101,368,817	40%	\$81,617,544	38%	\$79,927,023	36%
Community/Public	\$42,054,503	17%	\$27,533,814	13%	\$58,351,407	26%
Total	\$252,480,334	100%	\$213,127,838	100%	\$220,989,847	100%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100% All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AJ Share of Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*




* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AK Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 25 Minnesota Human Services Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Employment/Jobs	\$9,203,291	4%	\$18,294,586	9%	\$14,196,877	6%
Food, Nutrition & Agriculture	\$21,932,239	9%	\$22,855,939	11%	\$29,681,022	13%
Housing/Shelter	\$33,968,897	13%	\$35,425,340	17%	\$35,564,246	16%
Multipurpose Human Services	\$109,406,282	43%	\$83,362,242	39%	\$80,696,719	37%
Public Protection	\$12,701,740	5%	\$9,833,319	5%	\$8,366,466	4%
Public Safety, Disaster Preparedness & Relief	\$7,720,933	3%	\$6,027,501	3%	\$8,269,765	4%
Recreation, Leisure & Sports	\$24,050,571	10%	\$12,700,286	6%	\$13,902,763	6%
Youth Development	\$33,496,382	13%	\$24,628,625	12%	\$30,311,989	14%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

International Affairs

- *International affairs received the smallest share of giving by the sample in 2010.*
- *Funding for international affairs decreased 8 percent from 2009 to 2010.*
- *Corporate grantmakers increased giving to international affairs by 36 percent, while private and community/public support declined.*

International affairs grants go to organizations that promote international understanding; provide international development and relief services; and address international human rights, peace and security, and other related issues.

Grants included in this category are coded by subject area, not geography; therefore, the geographic service area of international affairs grants may or may not be located outside the U.S. For example, a \$2,000 grant from 3M Foundation and Corporation to Feed My Starving Children, based in Minnesota and providing international food relief, is coded to the international affairs subject area. For more on grantmaking by geographic service area, see page 49.

International affairs giving declined 8 percent

International affairs continued to be the smallest subject area in 2010, receiving only a 2-percent share of all grant dollars in the sample (see Figure N and Table 13). The 2-percent share of Minnesota grant dollars going to international affairs in 2010 was lower than the national share of 4 percent, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹, continuing a long-term trend (see Figure AM).

Grantmakers gave \$18.4 million to international affairs, an 8-percent decrease from 2009 (see Figure AL).

Minnesota grantmakers responded to the January 2010 earthquake in Haiti with great support. Depending on grantmaker fiscal year, these grants may be included in the 2009 or 2010 *Giving in Minnesota* data. A total of \$1.6 million was donated to the Haiti earthquake in the 2009 research year, and \$651,000 in the 2010 research year.

Corporate grantmakers increased giving to international affairs

In 2010, private foundations in Minnesota continued to provide two-thirds (67 percent) of the total international affairs giving. Corporate grantmakers gave 21 percent and community/public foundations granted 12 percent (see Table 26 and Figure AN).

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Private foundations gave \$12.3 million to international affairs, a decrease of 16 percent. WEM foundation gave \$2.3 million less to Yale University for international activities in 2010 than in 2009.
- Corporate grantmaking to international affairs grew 36 percent to \$3.8 million. Cargill & The Cargill Foundation granted \$1.5 million more to this subject area in 2010.
- Community/public foundations decreased their giving to international affairs 15 percent to \$2.3 million.

International development/relief services received largest share of international affairs grant dollars

Consistent with previous years, the international development/relief services subcategory received the largest share – nearly two-thirds – of international affairs grant dollars at \$11.4 million (see Table 27 and Figure AO).

The relatively small international human rights subcategory grew by 17 percent to \$1.4 million. In contrast, the other international affairs subcategory declined by 37 percent to \$3.2 million.

Largest International Affairs Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest international affairs grantmakers in 2010 are listed below. These five grantmakers provided 50 percent of the funding to this subject area by the sample. They are:

1. The McKnight Foundation	\$6,398,583
2. Robina Foundation	\$3,000,000
3. Cargill & The Cargill Foundation	\$2,963,991
4. WEM Foundation	\$1,867,000
5. The Minneapolis Foundation	\$1,322,242

Top International Affairs Recipients


The top five recipients of Minnesota's international affairs grant dollars in 2010 are listed below. They were granted 46 percent of the sample's grant funds to this subject area. They are:

1. Yale University ²	\$2,705,000
2. CARE (DC)	\$2,247,452
3. Council on Foreign Relations	\$2,000,000
4. Peace House Foundation	\$967,250
5. International Crops Research of the Semi-Arid Tropics (India)	\$554,500

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.


² The funds awarded to Yale University supported the Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, which is Yale's focal point for encouraging and coordinating teaching and research on international affairs, societies, and cultures around the world.

Figure AL Minnesota International Affairs Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AM Share of International Affairs Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010




* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 26 Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$13,020,439	62%	\$14,616,872	73%	\$12,338,969	67%
Corporate	\$4,344,536	21%	\$2,788,688	14%	\$3,803,979	21%
Community/Public	\$3,586,961	17%	\$2,640,991	13%	\$2,250,383	12%
Total	\$20,951,937	100%	\$20,046,552	100%	\$18,393,331	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.


Figure AN Share of Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AO Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 27 Minnesota International Affairs Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
International Development/Relief Services	\$11,839,784	57%	\$11,110,951	55%	\$11,350,904	62%
International Human Rights	\$1,396,089	7%	\$1,177,284	6%	\$1,379,250	7%
International Peace & Security	\$375,894	2%	\$550,800	3%	\$322,000	2%
Other International Affairs	\$4,752,624	23%	\$5,072,968	25%	\$3,184,883	17%
Promotion of International Understanding	\$2,587,547	12%	\$2,134,549	11%	\$2,156,294	12%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Public Affairs/Society Benefit

- *Public affairs/society benefit received \$158 million, the third-highest share of Minnesota grant dollars in 2010.*
- *Corporate grantmakers provided the largest amount of funding for public affairs/society benefit.*
- *Giving to the philanthropy/voluntarism subcategory decreased 16 percent.*

The public affairs/society benefit subject area covers grants to non-profits involved in general civic, community and societal improvement projects. It consists of six subcategories: philanthropy and voluntarism; community improvement/capacity building; public affairs/society benefit multipurpose; science and technology research; civil rights/social action; and social science research.

Public affairs/society benefit giving declined 5 percent

After growing 14 percent in 2009, in 2010 public affairs/society benefit giving decreased 5 percent to \$158 million (see Figure AP).

The public affairs/society benefit category received a 16-percent share of the grant dollars from the *Giving in Minnesota* sample, the third-largest subject area (see Figure N and Table 13). In recent years, Minnesota grantmakers typically gave a larger share of their grant dollars to public affairs/society benefit than did national grantmakers, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure AQ), but in 2010 the Minnesota and national shares were the same.

Corporate grantmakers provided nearly half of all public affairs/society benefit giving

In 2010 corporate grantmakers contributed 48 percent of the public affairs/society benefit funding; private foundations granted 37 percent, and community/public foundations gave 15 percent (see Table 28 and Figure AR).

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Corporate grantmakers increased giving to this subject area by 7 percent to \$76.6 million.
- Private foundations provided \$58.1 million to public affairs/society benefit, a 12-percent decline from 2009. The Fred C. And Katherine B. Andersen Foundation gave \$7.8 million less to this category in 2010 than in 2009.

- Community/public foundations gave \$23.4 million to public affairs/society benefit, down 17 percent from 2009. These changes were primarily due to large one-time grants in 2009 from The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation.

Philanthropy and voluntarism subcategory received most grant dollars

Consistent with previous years, in 2010 the philanthropy and voluntarism subcategory received the largest share of public affairs grant dollars, \$67.6 million (see Table 29 and Figure AS). This represents a 16-percent decrease from 2009, but the 2010 total still exceeds 2008.

Community improvement/capacity building is the second largest subcategory in this subject area, receiving \$49.4 million. Multipurpose public affairs, the third-largest subcategory, received \$20.7 million.

Largest Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest public affairs/society benefit grantmakers in 2010 provided 36 percent of the funding to this subject area. This is the lowest percentage for the five largest grantmakers in any subject area, indicating that a more diverse group of funders supports public affairs/society benefit.

1. The McKnight Foundation	\$16,736,221
2. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$12,458,130
3. Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community	\$10,309,075
4. 3M Foundation and Corporation	\$8,989,928
5. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$8,579,533

Public Affairs/Society Benefit Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's public affairs/society benefit grant dollars in 2010 are listed below. They received 19 percent of the sample's grant funds for this subject area. The recipients are:

1. Greater Twin Cities United Way	\$17,908,478
2. WEM 2000 Foundation ²	\$3,999,981
3. National Christian Charitable Foundation (GA)	\$3,209,285
4. Schwab Fund for Charitable Giving (CA) ³	\$3,078,076
5. United Way of Metropolitan Chicago (IL) ⁴	\$2,540,758


¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.

² WEM Foundation granted WEM 2000 Foundation \$3,999,981.

³ Douglass Brandenborg Family Foundation and Manitou Fund granted to the donor-advised Schwab Fund for Charitable Giving.


⁴ United Way of Metropolitan Chicago administers all corporate matching grants for Cargill & The Cargill Foundation.

Figure AP Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AQ Share of Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.


** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2011. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 28 Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$53,679,716	37%	\$66,008,663	40%	\$58,089,617	37%
Corporate	\$74,891,350	51%	\$71,356,535	43%	\$76,579,935	48%
Community/Public	\$16,969,523	12%	\$28,149,962	17%	\$23,357,741	15%
Total	\$145,540,589	100%	\$165,515,159	100%	\$158,027,293	100%

* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.


Figure AR Share of Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AS Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 29 Minnesota Public Affairs/Society Benefit Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Civil Rights, Social Action & Advocacy	\$4,178,427	3%	\$4,309,365	3%	\$3,733,769	2%
Community Improvement/Capacity Building	\$44,337,504	30%	\$47,625,409	29%	\$49,424,962	31%
Philanthropy & Voluntarism	\$64,077,081	44%	\$80,014,837	48%	\$67,585,975	43%
Public Affairs/Society Benefit: Multipurpose	\$18,503,727	13%	\$18,744,002	11%	\$20,691,522	13%
Science & Technology Research Institutes	\$14,343,355	10%	\$14,061,176	8%	\$15,701,593	10%
Social Science Research Institutes	\$100,495	<1%	\$760,369	<1%	\$889,472	1%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Religion

- *Funding for religion in Minnesota grew 6 percent to \$29.7 million in 2010.*
- *The religion subject area received a 3-percent share of grant dollars.*
- *Continuing to provide the majority of religion funding, community/public foundations increased giving 31 percent.*

The religion subject area includes grants to worship and faith-based organizations, such as churches, synagogues, and religious associations.

Grantmaking to religion grew 6 percent

The *Giving in Minnesota* sample gave \$29.7 million to religion in 2010, up 6 percent from 2009 but flat to 2008 (see Table 13 and Figure AT).

Historically, Minnesota grantmakers have given a higher share of their grant dollars to religion than have national grantmakers, as tracked by the Foundation Center¹ (see Figure AU). In 2010, Minnesota grantmakers gave a 3-percent share of grant dollars to religion (see Figure N and Table 13), while the national sample gave 2 percent to this subject area.

Community/public foundations provided largest share of religion grant dollars

Community/public foundations continued to provide the largest share of religion grant dollars at 48 percent (see Table 30 and Figure AV). Community/public foundations' relatively high level of religion grantmaking is due in part to giving from donor-advised funds and in part from the religious focus of two of the largest community/public foundations, Lutheran Community Foundation and Catholic Community Foundation. Private foundations granted 34 percent of religion dollars; corporate grantmakers gave 19 percent.

In Minnesota in 2010:

- Community/public foundations increased their giving to religion by 31 percent in 2010 after a 29-percent decline in 2009. They gave \$14.2 million to this subject area. The Minneapolis Foundation granted \$1 million to the Cathedral Church of St. Mark for a capital campaign in 2010.
- Private foundations contributed 34 percent of the grant dollars for religion, or \$10 million.

- Corporate foundations provided \$5.5 million to religion. Corporate giving to religion in Minnesota comes primarily from Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation.

Christian subcategory received the majority of religion grants

Consistent with previous years, the Christian subcategory received the largest share of religion grant dollars: three-quarters or \$22.4 million (see Table 31 and Figure AW).

Grantmaking to the other religion subcategory, which includes employee matching grants, fundraising by religious organizations for their operations, and interdisciplinary religious programs, grew 49 percent to \$4.7 million.

Largest Religion Grantmakers

Minnesota's five largest religion grantmakers in 2010 provided 58 percent of the funding to this subject area. They are:

1. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation	\$4,760,220
2. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$3,684,234
3. The Minneapolis Foundation	\$3,212,336
4. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation	\$2,938,246
5. GHR Foundation	\$2,544,029

Religion Grant Recipients

The top five recipients of Minnesota's religion grant dollars in 2010 were awarded 20 percent of the grant funds for this subject area. They are:


1. Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (IL) ²	\$1,514,855
2. Minneapolis Jewish Federation	\$1,227,300
3. The Lutheran Church – Missouri Synod (MO) ²	\$1,156,110
4. Cathedral Church of St. Mark	\$1,008,400
5. Papal Foundation (PA) ³	\$1,000,000

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.

² Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation gave to the Lutheran synod headquarters: Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (IL) & The Lutheran Church – Missouri Synod (MO).


³ The Papal Foundation (PA) received a single large grant from GHR Foundation.

Figure AT Minnesota Religion Grant Dollars, 2001-2010*



* All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AU Share of Religion Grant Dollars, Minnesota* vs. National**, 2001-2010



* Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition. Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

** The Foundation Center, *Foundation Giving Trends*, 2012. Based on all grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a sample of 1,330 larger foundations.

Table 30 Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*


	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Private	\$7,510,390	24%	\$10,367,326	37%	\$10,020,994	34%
Corporate	\$8,218,641	27%	\$6,759,285	24%	\$5,537,563	19%
Community/Public	\$15,249,586	49%	\$10,814,263	39%	\$14,179,238	48%
Total	\$30,978,617	100%	\$27,940,874	100%	\$29,737,795	100%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.


Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AV Share of Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*



* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AW Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 31 Minnesota Religion Grantmaking by Subcategory, 2008-2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Buddhist	\$69,690	<1%	\$76,500	<1%	\$30,000	<1%
Christian	\$23,917,237	77%	\$21,657,486	78%	\$22,375,330	75%
Hindu	\$0	NA	\$0	NA	\$0	NA
Interfaith Issues/Organizations	\$918,377	3%	\$950,732	3%	\$1,149,259	4%
Islamic	\$15,150	<1%	\$0	NA	\$65,500	<1%
Jewish	\$1,217,346	4%	\$2,099,009	8%	\$1,404,372	5%
Other Religion	\$4,840,817	16%	\$3,157,148	11%	\$4,713,334	16%

* Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area

This section describes the geographic trends in grantmaking by the *Giving in Minnesota* sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state by grants paid. For this analysis, MCF coded grants based on the geographic areas served by the recipient organizations. Each geographic area is distinct and no grant is coded to more than one area.

The geographic service areas are:

- **Twin Cities metropolitan area:** grants made to a program or organization that serves one or more counties in the seven-county metro area, including Anoka, Carver, Hennepin, Ramsey, Washington, Scott, and Dakota counties.
- **Greater Minnesota:** grants made to a program or organization that serves a specific city, county, or region within Minnesota other than the Twin Cities metropolitan area but does not serve the entire state.
- **Minnesota statewide:** grants made to a program or organization that serves the entire state of Minnesota.
- **Areas outside Minnesota:** grants made to a program or organization that serves a state (excluding Minnesota) or group of states, but not the entire nation.
- **National:** grants made to a program or organization that serves the entire nation. This includes national organizations that are headquartered in Minnesota.
- **International:** grants made to a program or organization that serves an area outside the United States.

Slightly more grantmaking to Minnesota

The total share of giving to organizations serving Minnesota was 51 percent in 2010, or \$491.7 million (see Table 32). The Twin Cities metropolitan area received 31 percent of total grant dollars, and Greater Minnesota and Minnesota statewide each received 10 percent (see Figure AX).

In 2009, the total share of giving to organizations serving Minnesota was three points lower, at 48 percent. The Twin Cities metropolitan area share increased 1 point in 2010, and Minnesota statewide increased 2 points. The Greater Minnesota share remained flat.

Giving outside Minnesota:

Organizations serving areas outside Minnesota, the entire nation, or international areas received 49 percent of grant dollars, or \$475.6 million.

- The share of giving to areas outside Minnesota remained flat at 34 percent.
- The international share decreased one point to 4 percent.
- The share of grant dollars to organizations with a national service area declined two points to 11 percent.


Community/public foundations gave 78 percent of their grant dollars to Minnesota

In 2010 community/public foundations gave 78 percent of the dollars they granted to organizations serving Minnesota (see Table 33 and Figure AY). Compared to 2009, in 2010 they gave a greater share to organizations that serve the Twin Cities and smaller shares to organizations that serve Greater Minnesota and Minnesota statewide (see Table 33). This shift can be attributed to the inclusion of Greater Twin Cities United Way, which primarily supports recipients in the Twin Cities, in the 2010 sample.

Private foundations gave 58 percent of their grant dollars to organizations serving Minnesota, up from 55 percent in 2009.

Corporate foundations and giving programs gave 45 percent of their grant dollars to organizations serving areas outside Minnesota. Corporations based in Minnesota often divide their grantmaking between headquarters communities and other regions of the country or world where their customers, plants and/or offices are located.

Figure AX Minnesota Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area, 2010



Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 32 Minnesota Grantmaking by Geographic Service Area, 2007-2010*

	2007		2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Twin Cities	\$311,156,234	32%	\$307,544,556	32%	\$282,272,923	30%	\$304,097,222	31%
Greater Minnesota	\$111,271,969	12%	\$108,795,382	11%	\$96,036,530	10%	\$95,573,341	10%
Minnesota Statewide	\$102,333,440	11%	\$89,500,218	9%	\$75,805,428	8%	\$91,992,324	10%
Minnesota Total	\$524,761,643	55%	\$505,840,155	52%	\$454,114,881	48%	\$491,662,887	51%
Areas Outside Minnesota	\$285,395,364	30%	\$289,758,353	30%	\$317,249,891	34%	\$327,397,017	34%
National	\$118,661,730	12%	\$137,199,294	14%	\$122,675,777	13%	\$106,675,181	11%
International	\$34,963,659	4%	\$39,312,290	4%	\$45,148,614	5%	\$41,518,029	4%


* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%. All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 33 Share of Minnesota Grantmaking Dollars to Geographic Service Area by Grantmaker Type, 2008-2010*

	2008			2009			2010		
	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public	Private	Corporate	Community/ Public
Twin Cities	38%	23%	41%	33%	23%	44%	31%	22%	53%
Greater Minnesota	14%	4%	25%	12%	4%	24%	15%	3%	16%
Minnesota Statewide	11%	7%	12%	9%	6%	11%	12%	8%	8%
Minnesota Total	63%	34%	78%	55%	33%	78%	58%	33%	78%
Areas Outside Minnesota	26%	39%	14%	33%	39%	16%	31%	45%	15%
National	8%	23%	5%	7%	22%	2%	7%	17%	5%
International	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	2%

* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Figure AY Share of Grant Dollars to Geographic Service Area by Grantmaker Type, 2010*



* Due to rounding, figures may not add up to 100%.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary

This section is an analysis of trends in grantmaking by intended beneficiary for the *Giving in Minnesota* sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota. In addition to identifying subject-area giving by using the NTEE classification system, MCF applies the Foundation Center's supplemental Grants Classification System (GCS) codes (see Methodology, page 59) to identify major beneficiary groups (see Tables 34 and 35).

Grants are only coded to specific populations/groups when the intended beneficiary is clearly articulated in the grant description or is clear from the name and purpose of the recipient organization. Because many grants lack a detailed description of their purpose, the total grant dollars reported here is likely to under-represent the actual amount of support grantmakers in Minnesota intend to benefit specific population groups. Just over half (55 percent) of the 2010 grants in the *Giving in Minnesota* sample could be coded to a specific beneficiary group other than general public/unspecified.

It is important to note that among the grants not coded for specific populations/groups are those that provide general support to institutions or organizations that serve broad public interests (including, for example, community centers and YMCAs). This is a limitation of the Grants Classification System.

In cases where more than one beneficiary group is indicated in the grant description, the grant is coded for multiple intended beneficiaries. For example, a grant intended to benefit African-American women will be coded for African Americans/blacks as well as for women and girls. MCF codes up to a maximum of three beneficiaries per grant. In 2010, only 3 percent of grants were coded to more than one beneficiary.

Children and Youth Top Beneficiary Groups

In 2010 the largest share of grant dollars (45 percent) fell into the general public/unspecified beneficiary group category (see Table 34).

Minnesota grantmakers gave the next largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve these five beneficiary groups:

	%	\$ in millions
1. Children and youth	24.7%	\$245
2. Adults	8.2%	\$82
3. Poor/economically disadvantaged	5.1%	\$50
4. Other named groups	4.3%	\$43
5. Native Americans/American Indians	2.6%	\$26

These same beneficiary groups have received the largest shares of grant dollars since 2007.

The other named groups category includes teachers, farmers, and many other specific groups that are identified in a grant description but do not have a unique category in the Grants Classification System.

Giving to children and youth – the largest specified beneficiary group at \$245 million – grew by 14 percent in 2010, following a 7-percent drop in 2009. Giving to Native Americans/American Indians, the fifth largest beneficiary group at \$26 million, declined by 11 percent, following an 18-percent decrease in 2009.

Grantmaking to organizations serving these three beneficiary groups grew significantly in 2010, as compared to 2009: African Americans/blacks (96-percent increase); crime/abuse victims (42-percent increase); and gay/lesbian/bisexual/transgender (33-percent increase).

Grantmaking to organizations serving these two beneficiary groups decreased significantly in 2010: ethnic/racial minorities – other specified groups (58-percent decrease), and substance abusers (40-percent decrease).

Keep in mind that amounts given to the above beneficiary groups are small compared to amounts given to the top 5 beneficiary groups, so small changes in dollar amounts appear as large percentage changes.

Also, as noted above, many of the grants that were not coded to a specific beneficiary group other than general public/unspecified are benefitting multiple populations. National research protocols in the field of philanthropy are under review to improve understanding of grantmaking by intended beneficiary.

All grantmaker types focused on children and youth

Giving by intended beneficiary for each grantmaker type – corporate, private and community/public – mirrored, for the most part, the trends in the sample overall (see Table 35).

In 2010, as in each year since 2006, corporate grantmakers gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve these five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public category).

	%	\$ in millions
1. Children and youth	36.6%	\$155
2. Adults	6.5%	\$28
3. Poor/economically disadvantaged	4.0%	\$17
4. Native Americans/American Indians	3.9%	\$17
5. Other named groups	3.1%	\$13

Grant dollars from corporate grantmakers to the poor/economically disadvantaged decreased 35 percent from 2009 to 2010.

In 2010, as in each year since 2007, private foundations gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve these five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public category).

	%	\$ in millions
1. Children and youth	12.6%	\$48
2. Adults	10.7%	\$40
3. Other named groups	6.5%	\$25
4. Poor/economically disadvantaged	5.3%	\$20
5. Native Americans/American Indians	1.9%	\$7

In 2009 adults topped the beneficiary list for private grantmakers.

In 2010, community/public foundations gave the largest shares of their grant dollars to organizations that serve these five beneficiary groups (excluding the general public).

	%	\$ in millions
1. Children and youth	22.3%	\$42
2. Adults	7.3%	\$14
3. Poor/economically disadvantaged	7.0%	\$13
4. People with disabilities	2.9%	\$6
5. Other named groups	2.6%	\$5

Grant dollars from community/public grantmakers to all five of these categories grew tremendously because of the inclusion of Greater Twin Cities United Way in the sample for the first time.

Table 34 Minnesota Grantmaking by Intended Beneficiary, 2008–2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
Adults	\$90,620,330	9.0%	\$106,618,169	11.0%	\$81,767,948	8.2%
African Americans/Blacks	\$6,678,090	0.7%	\$5,709,141	0.6%	\$11,194,935	1.1%
Aging/Elderly/Senior Citizens	\$18,329,490	1.8%	\$12,839,540	1.3%	\$11,208,821	1.1%
Asians/Pacific Islanders	\$2,717,957	0.3%	\$2,936,706	0.3%	\$3,408,381	0.3%
Children and Youth	\$232,353,178	23.1%	\$214,982,950	22.2%	\$244,983,802	24.7%
Crime/Abuse Victims	\$4,660,137	0.5%	\$3,527,040	0.4%	\$5,002,322	0.5%
People with Disabilities	\$7,762,127	0.8%	\$5,940,595	0.6%	\$7,537,678	0.8%
Ethnic/Racial Minorities - Other Specified Group(s)	\$6,368,577	0.6%	\$7,301,315	0.8%	\$3,075,840	0.3%
Ethnic/Racial Populations - General	\$3,076,303	0.3%	\$2,544,845	0.3%	\$2,985,939	0.3%
Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Transgender	\$734,462	0.1%	\$528,875	0.1%	\$704,100	0.1%
General Public/Unspecified	\$470,675,786	46.7%	\$427,194,388	44.0%	\$446,656,556	45.0%
Hispanics/Latinos	\$7,215,140	0.7%	\$6,405,568	0.7%	\$7,569,516	0.8%
Homeless	\$5,700,552	0.6%	\$6,501,526	0.7%	\$8,206,238	0.8%
Immigrants/Newcomers/Refugees	\$6,485,287	0.6%	\$5,230,554	0.5%	\$4,042,818	0.4%
Indigenous peoples	NA	NA	\$0	0.0%	\$40,000	<0.1%
Men and Boys	\$6,197,819	0.6%	\$4,487,149	0.5%	\$4,973,703	0.5%
People with Mental/Emotional Disabilities	\$5,179,570	0.5%	\$4,782,424	0.5%	\$5,731,331	0.6%
Migrant Workers	\$0	0.0%	\$88,022	<0.1%	\$40,000	<0.1%
Military/Veterans	\$2,246,165	0.2%	\$2,303,285	0.2%	\$2,240,157	0.2%
Native Americans/American Indians	\$36,090,816	3.6%	\$29,423,825	3.0%	\$26,164,784	2.6%
Offenders/Ex-Offenders	\$1,448,582	0.1%	\$850,401	0.1%	\$703,500	0.1%
Other Minorities	\$0	0.0%	\$30,600	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Other Named Groups	\$33,884,296	3.4%	\$41,374,190	4.3%	\$42,906,768	4.3%
People with HIV/AIDS	\$765,121	0.1%	\$742,232	0.1%	\$825,581	0.1%
People with terminal illness	NA	NA	\$725,337	0.1%	\$3,388,220	0.3%
Poor/Economically Disadvantaged	\$39,946,899	4.0%	\$62,200,188	6.4%	\$50,119,509	5.1%
Single Parents	\$2,525	<0.1%	\$98,142	<0.1%	\$30,000	<0.1%
Substance Abusers	\$1,936,394	0.2%	\$1,750,194	0.2%	\$1,058,168	0.1%
Women and Girls	\$16,329,049	1.6%	\$13,317,035	1.4%	\$14,984,649	1.5%
Total	\$1,007,404,652	100.0%	\$970,434,235	100.0%	\$991,551,264	100.0%

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Table 35 Intended Beneficiary by Grantmaker Type, 2009–2010*

	Private			Corporate			Community/Public		
	2009	Share	Grant Dollars	2010	Share	Grant Dollars	2009	Share	Grant Dollars
Adults	\$69,138,981	15.9%	\$40,317,574	10.7%	\$26,657,269	6.5%	\$10,821,920	8.7%	\$13,876,476
African Americans/Blacks	\$1,214,456	0.3%	\$1,131,225	0.3%	\$3,602,503	0.9%	\$892,182	0.7%	\$1,730,390
Aging/Elderly/Senior Citizens	\$5,029,737	1.2%	\$5,454,463	1.4%	\$3,244,031	0.8%	\$4,565,771	3.7%	\$3,950,563
Asians/Pacific Islanders	\$1,804,584	0.4%	\$1,169,125	0.3%	\$701,250	0.2%	\$430,872	0.3%	\$1,197,456
Children and Youth	\$55,688,434	12.8%	\$47,606,079	12.6%	\$141,976,898	34.5%	\$17,317,618	13.9%	\$42,140,872
Crime/Abuse Victims	\$1,820,305	0.4%	\$1,439,050	0.4%	\$1,395,411	0.3%	\$311,323	0.2%	\$2,919,077
People with Disabilities	\$6,464,776	1.5%	\$5,428,134	1.4%	\$2,896,027	0.7%	\$1,362,216	1.1%	\$5,491,131
Ethnic/Racial Minorities -									
Other Specified Group(s)	\$5,395,792	1.2%	\$2,052,641	0.5%	\$662,592	0.2%	\$1,242,931	1.0%	\$813,199
Ethnic/Racial Populations - General	\$1,353,995	0.3%	\$896,851	0.2%	\$951,660	0.2%	\$239,190	0.2%	\$615,238
Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual/Transgender	\$332,010	0.1%	\$257,500	0.1%	\$109,140	<0.1%	\$87,725	0.1%	\$160,200
General Public/Unspecified	\$200,182,806	46.1%	\$199,190,970	52.7%	\$158,763,332	38.6%	\$68,248,250	54.8%	\$85,333,531
Hispanics/Latinos	\$1,899,200	0.4%	\$1,407,266	0.4%	\$3,759,759	0.9%	\$4,813,446	1.1%	\$1,348,804
Homeless	\$4,014,876	0.9%	\$4,711,543	1.2%	\$1,737,244	0.4%	\$749,406	0.6%	\$1,645,038
Immigrants/Newcomers/Refugees	\$3,188,959	0.7%	\$1,585,636	0.4%	\$838,950	0.2%	\$1,202,645	1.0%	\$1,625,052
Indigenous peoples	\$0	0.0%	\$40,000	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Men and Boys	\$2,200,020	0.5%	\$2,619,000	0.7%	\$1,347,165	0.3%	\$939,965	0.8%	\$1,103,409
Migrant Workers	\$70,172	<0.1%	\$40,000	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$17,850	<0.1%	\$0
Military/Veterans	\$793,050	0.2%	\$945,000	0.3%	\$1,444,675	0.4%	\$1,241,157	0.3%	\$54,000
Native Americans/American Indians	\$8,881,808	2.0%	\$7,042,214	1.9%	\$16,982,316	4.1%	\$16,650,875	3.9%	\$2,471,695
Offenders/Ex-Offenders	\$653,381	0.2%	\$427,630	0.1%	\$55,539	<0.1%	\$141,480	0.1%	\$212,870
Other Minorities	\$30,600	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Other Named Groups	\$24,862,858	5.7%	\$24,694,841	6.5%	\$12,730,488	3.1%	\$3,780,843	3.0%	\$4,988,378
People with HIV/AIDS	\$471,932	0.1%	\$557,835	0.1%	\$270,300	0.1%	\$237,246	0.1%	\$30,500
People with terminal illness	\$299,345	0.1%	\$2,341,158	0.6%	\$332,663	0.1%	\$93,330	0.1%	\$103,238
Poor/Economically Disadvantaged	\$29,544,963	6.8%	\$19,857,794	5.3%	\$26,345,071	6.4%	\$17,022,833	4.0%	\$13,238,882
Single Parents	\$40,800	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$57,342	<0.1%	\$30,000	<0.1%	\$0
Substance Abusers	\$1,432,291	0.3%	\$398,508	0.1%	\$119,340	<0.1%	\$174,167	<0.1%	\$485,493
Women and Girls	\$7,377,105	1.7%	\$6,356,241	1.7%	\$4,707,219	1.1%	\$1,232,711	1.0%	\$3,845,280
Total	\$434,187,236	100.0%	\$377,968,278	100.0%	\$411,688,183	100.0%	\$424,202,214	100.0%	\$189,380,772

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index. Due to rounding, figures do not add up to 100%.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Grantmaking by Support Type

This section describes trends in grantmaking by support type for the *Giving in Minnesota* sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state by grants paid. MCF applies the Foundation Center's Grants Classification System (GCS) to code support type across five broad categories – general support, capital support, program support, student aid funds, and other – and 39 subcategories. MCF only codes support types that are articulated clearly in the grant description. In this report on 2010 data, 89 percent of the grants examined could be coded for a particular type of support. In 2009, 83 percent of grants were coded for support type.

Program support and capital support grew in 2010

Minnesota grantmakers continued to devote the largest share of their grant dollars – 61.8 percent, or \$534.4 million – to program support (see Table 36). General support received 20.4 percent of grant dollars, or \$176.5 million. Capital support received 9 percent, or \$78 million.

Between 2008 and 2010, the share of dollars devoted to program support has increased: from 56 percent in 2008 to 62 percent in 2010. The share of general support dollars has fallen from 23 percent in 2008 to 20 percent in 2010. The share of dollars going to capital support declined markedly from 12 percent in 2008 to 7 percent in 2009, but rose in 2010 to 9 percent, primarily because of the Minnesota Orchestral Association's capital campaign.

Minnesota's share of giving to program support exceeds national averages. According to the Foundation Center¹, U.S. giving to program support is 53 percent, and general support receives 22 percent. Capital support is nearly 14 percent of national giving.

All grantmaker types gave their largest shares of dollars to program support

In 2010, all grantmaker types – private, community/public and corporate – gave their largest shares of grant dollars to program support, followed by general support and capital support (see Table 37).

In 2010, shares of giving by private foundations to:

- Program support stayed about the same.
- General support declined 4 share points.
- Capital support increased 1 point.

In 2010, shares of giving by corporate grantmakers to:

- Program support declined 2 share points.
- General support decreased about 1 point.
- Capital support grew 2 points.

Community/public foundation funding by support type changed dramatically from 2009 to 2010 for two reasons: the addition of Greater Twin Cities United Way grants to the sample increased the total community/public foundation dollars, and a large number of community/public foundation grants that weren't able to be coded in 2009 were coded in 2010. In 2010, shares of giving by community/public foundations to:

- Program support rose 20 share points.
- General support declined 18 points.
- Capital support grew 3 points.

¹ *Foundation Giving Trends (2012 Edition)*, Foundation Center. Note that MCF's sample of Minnesota grantmakers is different in several ways from the Foundation Center's national sample. For example, the Minnesota sample includes grants of smaller sizes and grantmaking by corporate giving programs, while the Foundation Center sample does not.

Table 36 Minnesota Grantmaking by Support Type, 2008–2010*

	2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
General Support	\$191,534,006	22.6%	\$193,995,486	24.9%	\$176,542,683	20.4%
Annual Campaign	\$29,228,704	3.4%	\$21,829,751	2.8%	\$16,012,545	1.9%
General/Operating Support	\$162,242,682	19.1%	\$172,165,735	22.1%	\$160,455,138	18.5%
Income Development	\$2,020	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$75,000	<0.1%
Management Development	\$60,600	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Capital Support	\$104,684,697	12.3%	\$53,716,137	6.9%	\$77,979,488	9.0%
Building/Renovation	\$14,181,267	1.7%	\$10,350,762	1.3%	\$7,510,078	0.9%
Capital Campaign	\$75,402,381	8.9%	\$34,312,298	4.4%	\$60,479,728	7.0%
Collections Acquisition	\$240,529	<0.1%	\$93,400	<0.1%	\$87,851	<0.1%
Computer Systems and Equipment	\$2,519,286	0.3%	\$2,145,095	0.3%	\$1,329,007	0.2%
Debt Reduction	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Endowment Funds	\$6,867,696	0.8%	\$4,469,333	0.6%	\$5,789,302	0.7%
Equipment	\$4,438,287	0.5%	\$2,345,248	0.3%	\$2,783,522	0.3%
Land Acquisition	\$1,035,250	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Support	\$474,713,575	55.9%	\$467,088,669	59.9%	\$534,425,977	61.8%
Conferences/Seminars	\$7,669,293	0.9%	\$6,219,602	0.8%	\$7,266,146	0.8%
Curriculum Development	\$2,632,067	0.3%	\$2,628,598	0.3%	\$2,501,133	0.3%
Exhibitions	\$2,156,209	0.3%	\$1,680,093	0.2%	\$1,537,153	0.2%
Faculty/Staff Development	\$7,390,798	0.9%	\$7,967,230	1.0%	\$4,555,756	0.5%
Film/Video/Radio Production	\$576,779	0.1%	\$2,040	<0.1%	\$29,633	<0.1%
Performance/Production Costs	\$10,742,967	1.3%	\$9,811,593	1.3%	\$4,854,141	0.6%
Professorships	\$50,814	<0.1%	\$47,430	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Program Support/Program Development	\$440,795,091	51.9%	\$436,431,459	55.9%	\$512,764,864	59.3%
Publication	\$269,468	<0.1%	\$284,007	<0.1%	\$334,075	<0.1%
Seed Money	\$2,430,090	0.3%	\$2,016,618	0.3%	\$583,076	0.1%
Student Aid Funds	\$46,120,753	5.4%	\$43,662,942	5.6%	\$37,517,715	4.3%
Awards/Prizes/Competitions	\$8,068,677	1.0%	\$9,148,992	1.2%	\$6,774,773	0.8%
Fellowship Funds	\$10,249,510	1.2%	\$10,461,665	1.3%	\$9,332,028	1.1%
Fellowships - To Individuals	\$15,150	<0.1%	\$59,670	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%
Internship Funds	\$447,205	0.1%	\$367,404	<0.1%	\$418,250	0.1%
Scholarship Funds	\$26,065,417	3.1%	\$22,599,939	2.9%	\$19,922,759	2.3%
Scholarships - To Individuals	\$1,274,794	0.2%	\$1,025,272	0.1%	\$973,365	0.1%
Student Aid	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$96,540	<0.1%
Other	\$32,128,247	3.8%	\$21,742,690	2.8%	\$38,526,328	4.5%
Emergency Funds	\$42,937	<0.1%	\$2,285	<0.1%	\$54,822	<0.1%
Employee Matching Gifts	\$17,785,229	2.1%	\$12,493,079	1.6%	\$22,307,972	2.6%
Employee-Related Scholarships	\$220,809	<0.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Foundation-Administered Programs	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Other Grants to Individuals	\$183,547	<0.1%	\$557,512	0.1%	\$27,617	<0.1%
Officers and Trustees Discretionary Grants	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Program Evaluation	\$836,949	0.1%	\$1,001,044	0.1%	\$818,232	0.1%
Program-Related Investments/Loans	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
Research	\$11,838,027	1.4%	\$6,649,597	0.9%	\$14,910,395	1.7%
Technical Assistance	\$1,220,749	0.1%	\$1,039,173	0.1%	\$407,290	<0.1%
Total	\$849,181,279	100%	\$780,205,924	100%	\$864,992,191	100%
Unknown	\$122,928,812		\$158,983,239		\$102,260,923	

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.

Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota*, 2012 Edition.

Table 37 Support Type Funding by Grantmaker Type, 2009–2010*

	Private			Corporate			Community/Public		
	2009	2010		2009	2010		2009	2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars
General Support	\$109,642,003	27.5%	\$80,605,282	\$60,851,019	19.2%	\$61,076,849	\$23,502,464	36.7%	\$34,860,552
Annual Campaign	\$2,761,555	0.7%	\$1,629,874	\$17,430,073	5.5%	\$12,416,607	\$1,638,123	2.6%	\$1,966,064
General/Operating Support	\$106,880,448	26.8%	\$78,900,408	\$43,420,946	13.7%	\$48,660,242	\$21,864,341	34.1%	\$32,894,488
Income Development	\$0	0.0%	\$75,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Management Development	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Capital Support	\$21,466,865	5.4%	\$22,283,115	\$27,200,239	8.6%	\$35,650,259	\$5,049,033	7.9%	\$20,046,114
Building/Renovation	\$5,427,382	1.4%	\$959,868	\$4,464,487	1.4%	\$637,799	\$458,893	0.7%	\$5,912,411
Capital Campaign	\$12,193,983	3.1%	\$16,903,415	\$19,945,958	6.3%	\$33,019,507	\$2,172,357	3.4%	\$10,556,806
Collections Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$75,000	\$8,160	<0.1%	\$0	\$85,240	0.1%	\$12,851
Computer Systems and Equipment	\$320,059	0.1%	\$510,370	\$519,022	0.2%	\$315,020	\$1,306,014	2.0%	\$503,617
Debt Reduction	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Endowment Funds	\$2,437,879	0.6%	\$2,448,263	\$1,541,730	0.5%	\$886,100	\$489,724	0.8%	\$2,454,939
Equipment	\$1,087,563	0.3%	\$1,386,199	\$720,882	0.2%	\$791,833	\$536,804	0.8%	\$605,490
Land Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Program Support	\$239,952,791	60.2%	\$210,708,333	\$197,242,370	62.2%	\$200,136,037	\$29,893,508	46.7%	\$123,581,607
Conferences/Seminars	\$1,412,853	0.4%	\$1,528,375	\$4,457,918	1.4%	\$5,525,075	\$348,831	0.5%	\$212,696
Curriculum Development	\$322,320	0.1%	\$519,983	\$2,288,887	0.7%	\$1,976,150	\$17,391	<0.1%	\$5,000
Exhibitions	\$626,688	0.2%	\$265,200	\$1,048,305	0.3%	\$1,239,222	\$5,100	<0.1%	\$32,731
Faculty/Staff Development	\$6,280,397	1.6%	\$2,752,802	\$1,398,726	0.4%	\$861,586	\$288,107	0.4%	\$941,368
Film/Video/Radio Production	\$0	0.0%	\$23,633	\$2,040	<0.1%	\$6,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Performance/Production Costs	\$1,540,067	0.4%	\$879,715	\$8,077,555	2.5%	\$3,341,291	\$193,970	0.3%	\$633,135
Fellowships	\$22,950	<0.1%	\$0	\$24,480	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Program Support/Program Development	\$228,624,928	57.3%	\$204,081,749	\$178,861,282	56.4%	\$186,962,138	\$28,945,249	45.2%	\$121,720,977
Publication	\$146,880	<0.1%	\$163,800	\$99,387	<0.1%	\$134,575	\$37,740	0.1%	\$35,700
Seed Money	\$975,708	0.2%	\$493,076	\$983,790	0.3%	\$90,000	\$57,120	0.1%	\$0
Student Aid Funds	\$21,077,853	5.3%	\$19,523,416	\$17,735,963	5.6%	\$13,420,229	\$4,849,126	7.6%	\$4,574,070
Awards/Prizes/Competitions	\$5,171,298	1.3%	\$4,229,500	\$3,801,234	1.2%	\$2,367,776	\$176,460	0.3%	\$177,497
Fellowship Funds	\$5,971,879	1.5%	\$6,044,578	\$4,432,504	1.4%	\$3,174,950	\$57,282	0.1%	\$112,500
Fellowships - To Individuals	\$59,670	<0.1%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Internship Funds	\$178,500	<0.1%	\$125,000	\$169,830	0.1%	\$63,000	\$19,074	<0.1%	\$230,250
Scholarship Funds	\$8,681,434	2.2%	\$8,154,398	\$9,322,195	2.9%	\$7,764,503	\$4,596,310	7.2%	\$4,003,858
Scholarships - To Individuals	\$1,015,072	0.3%	\$873,400	\$10,200	<0.1%	\$50,000	\$0	0.0%	\$49,965
Student Aid	\$0	0.0%	\$96,540	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Other	\$6,777,903	1.7%	\$14,726,274	\$14,212,591	4.5%	\$23,270,050	\$749,646	1.2%	\$530,004
Emergency Funds	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$2,285	<0.1%	\$54,822	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Employee Matching Gifts	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$12,490,529	3.9%	\$22,297,972	\$2,550	<0.1%	\$10,000
Employee Related Scholarships	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Foundation-Administered Programs	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Other Grants to Individuals	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$557,512	0.9%	\$27,617
Officers and Trustees Discretionary Grants	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Program Evaluation	\$490,499	0.1%	\$509,950	\$502,896	0.2%	\$239,756	\$7,650	<0.1%	\$68,526
Program-Related Investments/Loans	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$802,417	0.3%	\$677,500	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Research	\$5,665,246	1.4%	\$13,905,344	\$414,465	0.1%	\$0	\$181,934	0.3%	\$327,551
Technical Assistance	\$622,158	0.2%	\$310,980	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$96,310
Total	\$398,917,415	100.0%	\$347,846,420	\$317,242,183	100.0%	\$333,553,424	\$64,043,776	100.0%	\$183,592,347

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.
Based on grants of \$2,000 or more made by a sample of 100 of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota.
Source: Minnesota Council on Foundations, *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*.

Methodology

Data collection for *Giving in Minnesota* is a year-round process that involves multiple sources of information about grantmakers and grants in Minnesota and across the U.S. Grants information is obtained from public sources or directly from 100 of the largest grantmakers located in Minnesota (the *Giving in Minnesota* sample).

Data are coded using the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) and the Grants Classification System (GCS). These two coding systems allow for categorization of grants by subject area, geographic service area, intended beneficiary, and support type.

Sources of Information

Information on overall giving in Minnesota and on giving by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state comes from the following sources:

- Self-reporting by grantmakers to MCF on grants paid, assets, and grant descriptions. Sources include financial information provided to MCF for the Minnesota Annual Grantmaker Rankings of the largest grantmakers in Minnesota; grants lists provided by the largest grantmakers; annual reports; and Minnesota Grantmakers Online (MGO), MCF's database of Minnesota grantmakers and grants.
- Annual 990 tax return forms filed by community/public foundations and 990-PF forms filed by private foundations and company-sponsored foundations, which report total grants, total assets, and grant lists at the end of the organization's filing year.
- Information on individual giving comes from the Internal Revenue Service's Statistics of Income, Individual Income and Tax Data, by State And Size of Adjusted Gross Income, for Tax Year 2010, which reports in aggregate on the tax returns with charitable deductions filed in Minnesota for that year.
- Information on the percentage of charitable givers in the United States who itemize their tax returns comes from *Giving USA*, a publication of Giving USA Foundation, researched and written by the Center on Philanthropy at Indiana University (2011 edition).
- Information on national and international giving trends comes from *Foundation Yearbook: Facts and Figures on Private and Community Foundations, 2012 Edition*, published by the Foundation Center.
- Information on grant dollars flowing into Minnesota from non-Minnesota-based foundations comes from the Foundation Center's 2012 research data set used for *Foundation Giving Trends: Update on Funding Priorities, 2012 Edition*.

For the first time in 2010 Greater Twin Cities United Way, a public charity grantmaker, was included in the Giving in Minnesota sample, giving a more inclusive picture of total grant dollars distributed.

The assets for Mayo Clinic have been removed from 2010 data and all previous year data sets. They were erroneously included in previous reporting.

Giving in Minnesota Sample

In order to provide detailed information on trends in giving in Minnesota, MCF analyzes the giving of 100 of the state's largest grantmakers, by grants paid, for which MCF can obtain information. The 100 grantmakers in the sample in 2010 include 51 private foundations, 32 corporate foundations and giving programs, and 17 community/public foundations (see Appendix i). The sampling base for *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition* includes 27,171 grants of \$2,000 or more awarded by the 100 grantmakers in the sample. These grants totaled \$967.3 million, represented 81 percent of the total giving of the top 100, and 69 percent of all grantmaking in the state. Eighty-six of the grantmakers in the 2010 sample were in the 2009 sample.

The community/public foundation grants included in the Giving in Minnesota sample include those from unrestricted assets, endowed funds and donor-advised funds.

In reports produced prior to *Giving in Minnesota, 2007 Edition* (which covered grantmaking in 2005), all grantmakers that awarded \$1 million or more in grants were included in the sample. In 2007, the criteria were changed to include 100 of the top grantmakers in Minnesota, in order to keep the number of grantmakers in the sample consistent across years.

Grant Coding

MCF applies two coding systems to the grants of \$2,000 and above made by 100 of the largest grantmakers in the state: the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE), which was developed by the National Center for Charitable Statistics (NCCS) in 1987, and the Grants Classification System (GCS), which was developed by the Foundation Center in the late 1980s.

The NTEE is used widely by the IRS, as well as philanthropy research organizations such as the Foundation Center, to classify nonprofit organization activities. The system classifies 10 basic subject areas and 26 major subcategories (A-Z) (see Table 38 and Appendix x). The 26 subcategories include additional subfields for services, disciplines or types of institutions unique to that field.

Research produced by the Foundation Center on national giving trends treats science and technology and social science research as separate subject areas. MCF categorizes these two areas as subcategories within public affairs/society benefit because they are comparatively small. In Minnesota, funding for science and technology research totaled \$15.7 million in 2010, while funding for social science research was \$889,472. In the analysis within the *Giving in Minnesota* report, MCF includes the Foundation Center's national data on these two subject areas as part of the national data on public affairs/society benefit.

MCF codes each grant by subject area, based on the grant description's purpose, when available. When no grant description is provided, the grant is coded based on the recipient organization's primary purpose. In cases where an organization may have more than one purpose, the code is limited to the one listed first on the nonprofit's IRS Form 990.

To further analyze trends by intended beneficiaries (see Table 39) and support type (see Tables 36 and 37), MCF applies supplemental Grants Classification System (GCS) codes to grants in the sample.

Time Period

To ensure consistency in the *Giving in Minnesota* report, MCF analyzes data from the same year-to-year time period. *Giving in Minnesota, 2012 Edition*, describes Minnesota grantmaking trends for the research year 2010, which MCF defines as the fiscal years of Minnesota foundations and corporate giving programs that end between June 1, 2010, and May 31, 2011. Therefore, grants used in this research may have been made anytime between June 1, 2009 and May 31, 2011, depending on the grantor's fiscal year.

Limitations

The *Giving in Minnesota* sample data presented in this report are used to suggest overall grantmaking trends in Minnesota. Although giving by the sample represents a large portion of Minnesota's grant dollars, it is not a complete picture of all giving in the state. Grants of less than \$2,000 have been excluded, as have all grants made by smaller foundations and giving programs, grants made directly to individuals, and grants made by private operating foundations. Some of the data sources have certain limitations:

- The annual IRS 990 and IRS 990-PF tax returns often provide minimal information about grants and grant recipients. In particular, these tax returns may lack detailed programmatic descriptions, such as the purpose of the grant, the address or geographic service area of grant recipients, the type of support provided (operating vs. program, etc.), and the intended beneficiary of the grant. While MCF attempts to locate missing information, it is often difficult or impossible to obtain. As a result, data obtained from tax returns are, in general, not as complete as data provided by grantmakers to MCF through self-reporting.
- The level of detail and specificity in self-reported data varies across grantmakers, depending on what they choose to provide to MCF.
- The data on individual giving in Minnesota are estimated based on information found in *Giving USA* (2011 edition) and data from the IRS; not all individuals who make charitable contributions itemize their tax returns.
- Information from corporate giving programs is self-reported to MCF and is not independently verified by MCF. A corporation is only required to report to the IRS contributions made through a corporate foundation (if it has one).

Table 38 National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE)

Subject Area	Major Groups Included
Arts, Culture & Humanities	A
Education	B
Environment/Animals	C, D
Health	E, F, G, H
Human Services	I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P
International Affairs	Q
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	R, S, T, U, V, W
Religion	X
Mutual Membership	Y
Unknown/Unclassified	Z

Table 39 Grants Classification System (GCS) Beneficiary Group Codes

Code	Description
A0	Not specified/general public (grants/organizations that could benefit everyone, not a specific population)
A1, A2, A3, A4	Infants/toddlers, children/youth, children, youth
A5	Adults
A6	Aging
A7	Young adults
D0	Disabilities, people with
D1	Physically disabled
D2	Blind/visually impaired
D3	Deaf/hearing impaired
D4	Mentally disabled
E0	Minorities (grants/organizations that are described as working with ethnic/racial populations, but not a specific group)
E1	Asians/Pacific Islanders
E2	African Americans/Blacks
E3	Hispanics/Latinos
E4	Native Americans/American Indians
E5	Indigenous people
E9	Other racial, ethnic minorities
F0	Women
F1	Infants/toddlers, female
F2, F3, F4	Girls
F5	Adults, women
F6	Aging, female
F7	Young adults, female
M0	Men
M1	Infants/toddlers, male
M2, M3, M4	Boys
M5	Adults, men
M6	Aging, male
M7	Young adults, male
N0	Other named groups (groups that have a commonality that isn't linked to ethnic/racial or minority status)
N1	Military/veterans
N2	Offenders/ex-offenders
N3	Substance abusers
N4	AIDS, people with
N5	Single parents
N6	Crime/abuse victims
N7	Terminal illness, people with
O0	Minorities (groups that are linked through minority status that isn't linked to ethnic/racial)
O1	LGBTQ
O2	Immigrants/refugees
P0	Economically disadvantaged
P1	Homeless
P2	Migrant workers

Appendices

Appendix i: Giving in Minnesota Sample

The Giving in Minnesota Sample Trends section of this report is based primarily on a detailed analysis of the 2010 grantmaking activity of the following 100 Minnesota grantmakers:

Private Foundations

(Family and Independent)

Alliss Educational Foundation
Marshall H. and Nellie Alworth Memorial Fund
Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation
Hugh J. Andersen Foundation
Andreas Foundation
L. and N. Andreas Foundation
The Barry Foundation
F.R. Bigelow Foundation
Blandin Foundation
Douglass Brandenburg Family Foundation
Bush Foundation
Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation
Margaret A. Cargill Foundation
Carlson Family Foundation
Edward Dayton Family Fund
George Family Foundation
GHR Foundation
Greycoach Foundation
Mary Livingston Griggs and Mary Griggs Burke Foundation
N. Bud and Beverly Grossman Foundation
Hardenbergh Foundation
Healthier Minnesota Community Clinic Fund
HRK Foundation
Huss Foundation
Jerome Foundation
Kopp Family Foundation
Ida C. Koran Trust
Steven C. Leuthold Family Foundation
Manitou Fund
Ted and Dr. Roberta Mann Foundation
Mardag Foundation
William W. and Nadine M. McGuire Family Foundation
The McKnight Foundation
Mithun Family Foundation
R. B. Nordick Foundation
Northwest Area Foundation

I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated
Otto Bremer Foundation
Edward and Leslye Phillips Family Foundation
The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation
Carl and Eloise Pohlada Family Foundation
Prospect Creek Foundation
Pump Foundation
Margaret Rivers Fund
Robina Foundation
Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation
Tozer Foundation, Inc.
Wallin Foundation
WEM Foundation
Wessner Foundation
WSDC Foundation

Corporate Foundations & Corporate Giving Programs

3M/3M Foundation
ADC Foundation
Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
Andersen Corporate Foundation
Best Buy Children's Foundation
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation/Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota
Cargill & The Cargill Foundation
CHS Foundation
Deluxe Corporation Foundation/Deluxe Corporation
The Dorsey & Whitney Foundation
Ecolab Foundation and Corporation
Federated Insurance Foundation, Inc.
General Mills Foundation and Corporation
Land O'Lakes Foundation
Mayo Clinic
The Medtronic Foundation
Opus Foundation
The Pentair Foundation
RBC Foundation - USA

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, L.L.P. Private Foundation
St. Jude Medical Inc./St. Jude Medical Foundation
Securian Foundation/Securian Financial Group
Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community
SUPERVALU Foundation
Target Foundation and Corporation
TCF Foundation
Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation
Travelers Corporation and Travelers Foundation
U.S. Bancorp Foundation
United Health Foundation
Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota
Xcel Energy Foundation/Corporate Citizenship

Community/Public Foundations

Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis
Central Minnesota Community Foundation
ClearWay Minnesota
Duluth Superior Area Community Foundation
Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation
Grand Rapids Area Community Foundation
Greater Twin Cities United Way
The Hormel Foundation
Lutheran Community Foundation
Medica Foundation
The Minneapolis Foundation
Northwest Minnesota Foundation
Rochester Area Foundation
The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation
Southwest Initiative Foundation
West Central Initiative
Women's Foundation of Minnesota

Appendix ii: Top 50 Minnesota Grantmakers by Grants Paid, 2010

Foundation/Corporate Giving Program	Grants/PRI/Corporate Giving	Fiscal Year End
1. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$131,169,093	1/29/11
2. The McKnight Foundation	\$96,686,049	12/31/10
3. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$87,701,545	5/31/11
4. Greater Twin Cities United Way ¹	\$65,668,724	12/31/10
5. Cargill and The Cargill Foundation	\$61,111,057	5/31/11 & 12/31/10
6. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation ²	\$60,499,510	12/31/10
7. UnitedHealth Group and United Health Foundation ³	\$52,100,000	12/31/10
8. Medtronic Foundation and Corporation	\$49,797,800	4/30/11
9. The Minneapolis Foundation ⁴	\$47,493,025	3/31/11
10. Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community	\$28,546,620	9/30/10
11. 3M Foundation and Corporation	\$27,161,585	12/31/10
12. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$24,143,328	12/31/10
13. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$23,805,753	12/31/10
14. Bush Foundation	\$23,117,698	12/31/10
15. U.S. Bancorp Foundation	\$21,398,838	12/31/10
16. Best Buy Children's Foundation and Corporation	\$21,113,892	2/28/11
17. Travelers Companies, Inc. and Travelers Foundation	\$18,545,696	12/31/10
18. Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation	\$17,674,195	12/31/10
19. Blandin Foundation	\$17,604,209	12/31/10
20. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation	\$14,712,673	12/31/10
21. GHR Foundation	\$12,601,189	12/31/10
22. WEM Foundation	\$12,117,984	12/31/10
23. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$10,704,612	12/31/10
24. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$10,316,519	12/31/10
25. Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota ⁵	\$10,240,000	12/31/10
26. Margaret A. Cargill Foundation ⁶	\$10,047,875	12/31/10
27. St. Jude Medical Inc. and Foundation	\$9,941,193	12/31/10
28. Xcel Energy Foundation and Corporate Citizenship	\$9,879,252	12/31/10
29. The Mosaic Company	\$9,870,317	5/31/11
30. Northwest Area Foundation ⁷	\$9,275,513	12/31/10
31. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation	\$9,187,910	12/31/10
32. Robina Foundation	\$8,203,570	12/31/10
33. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$7,759,742	6/30/10
34. The Hormel Foundation	\$6,417,669	11/30/10
35. Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	\$6,390,270	12/31/10
36. Carlson Family Foundation	\$5,706,593	12/31/10
37. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$5,312,762	12/31/10
38. Healthier Minnesota Community Clinic Fund	\$5,160,948	12/31/10
39. N. Bud and Beverly Grossman Foundation	\$5,075,520	12/31/10
40. The Pentair Foundation	\$4,678,469	12/31/10
41. Ecolab Foundation and Corporation	\$4,631,867	12/31/10
42. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$4,499,331	6/30/10
43. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$4,410,625	12/31/10
44. ADC Foundation	\$4,179,440	3/28/11
45. Huss Foundation	\$3,807,077	12/31/10
46. The Dorsey & Whitney Foundation	\$3,495,397	12/31/10
47. Andreas Foundation	\$3,182,925	11/30/10
48. Mayo Clinic	\$3,100,000	12/31/10
49. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$3,077,500	12/31/10
50. Jerome Foundation	\$3,007,952	4/30/11

¹ Greater Twin Cities United Way is included in the Minnesota Annual Grantmaker Rankings for the first time because the rankings now also include public charity grantmakers that award competitive grants.

² Also includes the J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

³ United Health Foundation has additional direct charitable distributions in the form of mission-related programs and projects that amount to \$1,650,234.

⁴ Includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

⁵ Wells Fargo Foundation and Wells Fargo & Co. (headquartered in California) had total U.S. cash contributions of \$219 million.

⁶ Incorporated in Minnesota in 2007, the foundation is still ramping up operations. Approximately \$1.7 billion in assets still held by the estate are not yet available for grantmaking.

⁷ Northwest Area Foundation changed its fiscal year end from 3/31 to 12/31 in 2010. Data reported for 2010 is for the 9-month period 4/1/2010 through 12/31/2010.

Appendix iii: Top 50 Minnesota Foundations by Assets, 2010

Foundation	Assets	Fiscal Year End
1. The McKnight Foundation	\$2,014,524,080	12/31/10
2. Margaret A. Cargill Foundation ¹	\$1,968,309,988	12/31/10
3. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation ²	\$904,223,537	12/31/10
4. Bush Foundation	\$760,000,000	12/31/10
5. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$713,359,201	12/31/10
6. The Minneapolis Foundation ³	\$625,399,567	3/31/11
7. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$488,956,182	12/31/10
8. Northwest Area Foundation ⁴	\$426,444,303	12/31/10
9. Blandin Foundation	\$406,456,948	12/31/10
10. The Hormel Foundation	\$371,751,622	11/30/10
11. GHR Foundation	\$288,495,199	12/31/10
12. WEM Foundation	\$269,976,883	12/31/10
13. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$246,774,399	12/31/10
14. J. A. Wedum Foundation	\$194,487,967	12/31/10
15. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$163,004,372	6/30/10
16. Greater Twin Cities United Way ⁵	\$140,737,760	12/31/10
17. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$132,977,598	12/31/10
18. The Cargill Foundation	\$132,913,000	12/31/10
19. ClearWay Minnesota	\$114,967,375	6/30/10
20. General Mills Foundation	\$106,514,158	5/31/11
21. Carl and Eloise Pohlad Family Foundation	\$105,947,959	12/31/10
22. Carlson Family Foundation	\$101,399,548	12/31/10
23. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$96,625,939	12/31/10
24. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation	\$92,112,000	12/31/10
25. Jerome Foundation	\$80,457,695	4/30/11
26. Andreas Foundation	\$78,950,889	11/30/10
27. United Health Foundation	\$77,133,000	12/31/10
28. I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated	\$72,000,000	12/31/10
29. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$71,928,000	12/31/10
30. Opus Foundation	\$68,155,000	12/31/10
31. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$66,481,328	12/31/10
32. Hugh J. Andersen Foundation	\$62,659,978	2/28/11
33. Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation	\$59,853,848	12/31/10
34. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation	\$58,285,988	12/31/10
35. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$55,131,675	6/30/10
36. Northland Foundation	\$54,471,936	6/30/10
37. The Medtronic Foundation	\$54,016,243	4/30/11
38. Southwest Initiative Foundation	\$53,649,911	6/30/10
39. George Family Foundation	\$52,917,420	12/31/10
40. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation ⁶	\$52,486,770	12/31/10
41. Duluth Superior Area Community Foundation	\$51,800,409	12/31/10
42. Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation	\$51,607,121	12/31/10
43. Mardag Foundation	\$49,368,946	12/31/10
44. Mithun Family Foundation	\$48,871,871	12/31/10
45. Initiative Foundation	\$48,700,000	12/31/10
46. West Central Initiative	\$46,298,885	6/30/10
47. Prospect Creek Foundation	\$44,634,254	12/31/10
48. R. B. Nordick Foundation	\$43,887,261	12/31/10
49. Andersen Corporate Foundation	\$42,607,257	11/30/10
50. Steven C. Leuthold Family Foundation	\$41,887,789	12/31/10
51. Douglass Brandenburg Family Foundation	\$41,645,546	12/31/10

¹ Incorporated in Minnesota in 2007, the foundation is still ramping up operations. Approximately \$1.7 billion in assets still held by the estate are not yet available for grantmaking.

² Also includes the J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

³ Includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

⁴ Northwest Area Foundation changed its fiscal year end from 3/31 to 12/31 in 2010. Data reported for 2010 is for the 9-month period 4/1/2010 through 12/31/2010.

⁵ Greater Twin Cities United Way is included in the Minnesota Annual Grantmaker Rankings for the first time because the rankings now also include public charity grantmakers that award competitive grants.

⁶ The foundation divided its assets equally to form 3 new foundations at the end of 2010.

Appendix iv: Top 25 Minnesota Private Foundations by Grants Paid, 2010

Foundation	Grants/PRI's	Fiscal Year End
1. The McKnight Foundation	\$96,686,049	12/31/10
2. Otto Bremer Foundation	\$24,143,328	12/31/10
3. Fred C. and Katherine B. Andersen Foundation	\$23,805,753	12/31/10
4. Bush Foundation	\$23,117,698	12/31/10
5. Carl and Eloise Pohlada Family Foundation	\$17,674,195	12/31/10
6. Blandin Foundation	\$17,604,209	12/31/10
7. GHR Foundation	\$12,601,189	12/31/10
8. WEM Foundation	\$12,117,984	12/31/10
9. Richard M. Schulze Family Foundation	\$10,316,519	12/31/10
10. Margaret A. Cargill Foundation ¹	\$10,047,875	12/31/10
11. Northwest Area Foundation ²	\$9,275,513	12/31/10
12. The Jay and Rose Phillips Family Foundation	\$9,187,910	12/31/10
13. Robina Foundation	\$8,203,570	12/31/10
14. Carlson Family Foundation	\$5,706,593	12/31/10
15. F.R. Bigelow Foundation	\$5,312,762	12/31/10
16. Healthier Minnesota Community Clinic Fund	\$5,160,948	12/31/10
17. N. Bud and Beverly Grossman Foundation	\$5,075,520	12/31/10
18. Alliss Educational Foundation	\$4,410,625	12/31/10
19. Huss Foundation	\$3,807,077	12/31/10
20. Andreas Foundation	\$3,182,925	11/30/10
21. Hardenbergh Foundation	\$3,077,500	12/31/10
22. Jerome Foundation	\$3,007,952	4/30/11
23. I. A. O'Shaughnessy Foundation, Incorporated	\$2,945,239	12/31/10
24. Hugh J. Andersen Foundation	\$2,701,301	2/28/11
25. Patrick and Aimee Butler Family Foundation	\$2,588,100	12/31/10

¹ Incorporated in Minnesota in 2007, the foundation is still ramping up operations. Approximately \$1.7 billion in assets still held by the estate are not yet available for grantmaking.

² Northwest Area Foundation changed its fiscal year end from 3/31 to 12/31 in 2010. Data reported for 2010 is for the 9-month period 4/1/2010 through 12/31/2010.

Appendix v: Top 25 Minnesota Corporate Foundations & Giving Programs by Grants Paid, 2010

Foundation/Giving Program	Grants/PRIs/ Corporate Giving	Fiscal Year End
1. Target Foundation and Corporation	\$131,169,093	1/29/11
2. General Mills Foundation and Corporation	\$87,701,545	5/31/11
3. Cargill and The Cargill Foundation	\$61,111,057	5/31/11 & 12/31/10
4. UnitedHealth Group and United Health Foundation ¹	\$52,100,000	12/31/10
5. Medtronic Foundation and Corporation	\$49,797,800	4/30/11
6. 3M Foundation and Corporation	\$27,161,585	12/31/10
7. U.S. Bancorp Foundation	\$21,398,838	12/31/10
8. Best Buy Children's Foundation and Corporation	\$21,113,892	2/28/11
9. Travelers Companies, Inc. and Travelers Foundation	\$18,545,696	12/31/10
10. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation and Corporation	\$14,712,673	12/31/10
11. Wells Fargo Foundation Minnesota and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota ²	\$10,240,000	12/31/10
12. St. Jude Medical Inc. and Foundation	\$9,941,193	12/31/10
13. Xcel Energy Foundation and Corporate Citizenship	\$9,879,252	12/31/10
14. The Mosaic Company	\$9,870,317	5/31/11
15. Ameriprise Financial, Inc.	\$6,390,270	12/31/10
16. The Pentair Foundation	\$4,678,469	12/31/10
17. Ecolab Foundation and Corporation	\$4,631,867	12/31/10
18. ADC Foundation	\$4,179,440	3/28/11
19. The Dorsey & Whitney Foundation	\$3,495,397	12/31/10
20. Mayo Clinic	\$3,100,000	12/31/10
21. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation and Corporation	\$2,839,225	12/31/10
22. Federated Insurance Foundation, Inc.	\$2,790,600	12/31/10
23. Deluxe Corporation Foundation/Deluxe Corporation	\$2,596,397	12/31/10
24. Andersen Corporate Foundation and Andersen Corporation	\$2,529,438	11/30/10
25. Opus Foundation	\$2,445,584	12/31/10

¹ United Health Foundation has additional direct charitable distributions in the form of mission-related programs and projects that amount to \$1,650,234.

² Wells Fargo Foundation and Wells Fargo & Co. (headquartered in California) had total U.S. cash contributions of \$219 million.

Appendix vi: Top 25 Minnesota Community/Public Foundations by Grants Paid, 2010

Foundation	Grants/PRI	Fiscal Year End
1. Greater Twin Cities United Way ¹	\$65,668,724	12/31/10
2. The Saint Paul Foundation and Minnesota Community Foundation ²	\$60,499,510	12/31/10
3. The Minneapolis Foundation ³	\$47,493,025	3/31/11
4. Lutheran Community Foundation	\$10,704,612	12/31/10
5. Catholic Community Foundation in the Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis	\$7,759,742	6/30/10
6. The Hormel Foundation	\$6,417,669	11/30/10
7. Central Minnesota Community Foundation	\$4,499,331	6/30/10
8. ClearWay Minnesota	\$2,420,334	6/30/10
9. Fargo-Moorhead Area Foundation	\$2,275,123	12/31/10
10. West Central Initiative	\$2,234,117	6/30/10
11. Duluth Superior Area Community Foundation	\$1,954,680	12/31/10
12. Rochester Area Foundation	\$1,867,925	12/31/10
13. Southwest Initiative Foundation	\$1,655,393	6/30/10
14. Northwest Minnesota Foundation	\$1,546,114	6/30/10
15. Women's Foundation of Minnesota	\$1,536,102	3/31/11
16. Grand Rapids Area Community Foundation	\$1,492,000	12/31/10
17. Medica Foundation	\$1,453,407	12/31/10
18. American Center for Philanthropy	\$1,397,929	12/31/10
19. St. Croix Valley Foundation	\$1,363,677	6/30/10
20. Fidelis Foundation	\$1,254,907	9/30/10
21. Initiative Foundation	\$1,117,681	12/31/10
22. Winona Community Foundation	\$1,027,611	12/31/10
23. Northland Foundation	\$886,075	6/30/10
24. Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation	\$843,637	6/30/10
25. Open Your Heart to the Hungry and Homeless	\$788,426	12/31/10

¹ Greater Twin Cities United Way is included in the Minnesota Annual Grantmaker Rankings for the first time because the rankings now also include public charity grantmakers that award competitive grants.

² Also includes the J. Paper Foundation and A.F. Paper Foundation.

³ Includes Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi Foundation for Children and Nonprofits Assistance Fund.

Appendix vii: Top 50 Non-Minnesota-Based Foundations Giving to Minnesota-Based Recipients by Grants Paid, 2010

Foundation	Grantmaker State	Grantmaker Type¹	Dollars Awarded to Minnesota-Based Recipients	Number of Grants
1. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	NJ	IN	\$7,400,192	13
2. Wells Fargo Foundation	CA	CS	6,563,945	154
3. The Kresge Foundation	MI	IN	5,713,550	19
4. AT&T Foundation	TX	CS	5,343,164	4
5. The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation	NY	IN	4,944,286	12
6. Verizon Foundation	NJ	CS	3,933,096	4
7. W.K. Kellogg Foundation	MI	IN	3,667,800	9
8. Ford Foundation	NY	IN	3,620,000	10
9. The PepsiCo Foundation, Inc.	NY	CS	3,570,338	2
10. Silicon Valley Community Foundation	CA	CM	3,495,055	15
11. The Kern Family Foundation, Inc.	WI	IN	3,002,096	16
12. The Bank of America Charitable Foundation, Inc.	NC	CS	2,950,918	9
13. Thrivent Financial for Lutherans Foundation	WI	CS	2,876,390	82
14. Mississippi Common Fund Trust	MS	IN	2,500,000	1
15. Wayne & Gladys Valley Foundation	CA	IN	2,000,000	1
16. Lumina Foundation for Education, Inc.	IN	IN	1,800,000	3
17. The Wal-Mart Foundation, Inc.	AR	CS	1,669,274	24
18. Robert & Ardis James Foundation	DE	IN	1,395,000	4
19. Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	WA	IN	1,340,066	4
20. The Joyce Foundation	IL	IN	1,261,000	8
21. Walton Family Foundation, Inc.	AR	IN	1,178,312	9
22. The Gerdin Charitable Foundation	IA	IN	1,160,000	1
23. MetLife Foundation	NY	CS	1,133,645	6
24. Intel Foundation	OR	CS	1,099,550	3
25. Robert H. Smith Family Foundation	VA	IN	1,075,000	1
26. Theodore R. & Vivian M. Johnson Scholarship Foundation, Inc.	FL	IN	1,055,000	1
27. The Pershing Square Foundation	NY	IN	1,000,250	1
28. Lilly Endowment Inc.	IN	IN	953,993	12
29. The F. B. Heron Foundation	NY	IN	945,000	6
30. The Skoll Foundation	CA	IN	865,000	2
31. The Barry Foundation	ND	IN	850,935	10
32. Gianforte Family Charitable Trust	MT	IN	750,000	1
33. Greater Kansas City Community Foundation	MO	CM	735,000	10
34. The Humana Foundation, Inc.	KY	CS	733,935	1
35. John S. and James L. Knight Foundation	FL	IN	685,000	10
36. The Annie E. Casey Foundation	MD	IN	681,784	6
37. The Chicago Community Trust	IL	CM	675,000	1
38. Oberkottor Foundation	PA	IN	663,546	6
39. SC Johnson Fund, Inc.	WI	CS	623,450	6
40. The Daniel Foundation of Alabama	AL	IN	600,000	1
41. The George Foundation	TX	IN	598,000	6
42. Charles Stewart Mott Foundation	MI	IN	580,000	5
43. Illinois Tool Works Foundation	IL	CS	559,511	2
44. The Cleveland Foundation	OH	CM	525,000	5
45. The Rockefeller Foundation	NY	IN	515,870	2
46. The Dallas Foundation	TX	CM	505,000	1
47. Branches Foundation	SD	IN	494,000	6
48. The Chrysler Foundation	MI	CS	485,250	2
49. Surdna Foundation, Inc.	NY	IN	478,288	10
50. Northwestern Mutual Foundation, Inc.	WI	CS	471,534	4

Source: The Foundation Center, 2012. Based on grants of \$10,000 or more awarded by a national sample of 1,330 larger U.S. foundations (including 800 of the 1,000 largest ranked by total giving). For community foundations, only discretionary grants are included. Grants to individuals are not included.

¹ IN = Independent Foundation; CM = Community Foundation; CS = Corporate Foundation.

Copyright © 2012, The Foundation Center. All rights reserved.

Appendix viiii: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Actual Dollars, 2006–2010

	2006		2007		2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
PRIVATE										
Arts	\$41,985,237	12%	\$38,755,172	10%	\$39,545,249	10%	\$37,621,101	9%	\$44,187,826	12%
Education	\$76,647,767	22%	\$85,942,911	22%	\$77,013,780	20%	\$85,707,514	21%	\$70,104,185	19%
Environment/Animals	\$26,603,607	8%	\$35,054,705	9%	\$36,532,147	10%	\$40,214,490	10%	\$45,404,347	12%
Health	\$42,790,587	12%	\$49,856,882	13%	\$46,575,109	12%	\$52,931,165	13%	\$45,455,757	12%
Human Services	\$88,086,467	25%	\$103,525,859	27%	\$107,977,242	28%	\$101,937,726	25%	\$82,711,417	22%
International	\$10,023,402	3%	\$11,644,733	3%	\$12,891,524	3%	\$14,330,267	4%	\$12,338,969	3%
Public/Society Benefit	\$57,652,337	16%	\$55,530,300	14%	\$53,148,234	14%	\$64,714,375	16%	\$58,089,617	16%
Religion	\$5,810,931	2%	\$7,063,725	2%	\$7,436,030	2%	\$10,164,045	2%	\$10,020,994	3%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$50,375	<1%	\$25,000	<1%	\$45,500	<1%	\$35,500	<1%	\$38,000	<1%
Total	\$349,650,710	100%	\$387,399,287	100%	\$381,164,815	100%	\$407,656,183	100%	\$368,351,112	100%
CORPORATE										
Arts	\$57,232,837	16%	\$64,412,046	16%	\$60,276,447	14%	\$56,321,354	14%	\$62,671,501	15%
Education	\$115,162,916	33%	\$131,573,560	34%	\$139,326,233	32%	\$132,721,487	34%	\$146,912,801	35%
Environment/Animals	\$6,784,192	2%	\$6,504,987	2%	\$12,805,684	3%	\$11,087,713	3%	\$8,566,290	2%
Health	\$29,640,129	9%	\$32,817,155	8%	\$34,108,990	8%	\$34,917,129	9%	\$31,301,113	8%
Human Services	\$65,445,649	19%	\$77,314,385	20%	\$100,365,165	23%	\$80,017,200	20%	\$79,927,023	19%
International	\$682,413	1%	\$2,500,440	1%	\$4,301,521	1%	\$2,734,008	1%	\$3,803,979	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$63,542,951	18%	\$67,875,200	17%	\$74,149,851	17%	\$69,957,387	18%	\$76,579,935	18%
Religion	\$7,952,492	2%	\$9,237,025	2%	\$8,137,268	2%	\$6,626,750	2%	\$5,537,563	1%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$565,471	<1%	\$278,304	<1%	\$15,488	<1%	\$41,469	<1%	\$9,450	<1%
Total	\$347,009,050	100%	\$392,513,102	100%	\$433,486,647	100%	\$394,424,497	100%	\$415,309,655	100%
COMMUNITY/PUBLIC										
Arts	\$11,666,789	10%	\$14,571,282	11%	\$17,244,591	12%	\$11,761,712	10%	\$22,201,082	12%
Education	\$20,314,314	17%	\$28,085,198	20%	\$26,425,870	18%	\$24,718,178	21%	\$39,491,588	22%
Environment/Animals	\$3,519,394	3%	\$2,944,901	2%	\$6,750,981	5%	\$3,675,758	3%	\$4,806,693	3%
Health	\$18,208,598	15%	\$13,419,924	10%	\$20,250,106	14%	\$10,706,906	9%	\$18,930,497	10%
Human Services	\$29,395,653	25%	\$42,010,453	30%	\$41,638,122	28%	\$26,993,935	23%	\$58,351,407	32%
International	\$5,163,209	4%	\$5,051,086	4%	\$3,551,447	2%	\$2,589,207	2%	\$2,250,383	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$16,912,434	14%	\$16,699,495	12%	\$16,801,508	11%	\$27,598,002	23%	\$23,357,741	13%
Religion	\$13,171,280	11%	\$14,859,416	11%	\$15,098,600	10%	\$10,602,219	9%	\$14,179,238	8%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$411,228	<1%	\$333,852	<1%	\$72,552	<1%	\$47,093	<1%	\$23,718	<1%
Total	\$118,762,899	100%	\$137,975,607	100%	\$147,833,777	100%	\$118,493,010	100%	\$183,592,347	100%
TOTAL GRANTS PAID	\$815,422,659		\$917,887,996		\$962,485,239		\$920,773,690		\$967,253,114	

Appendix ix: Grants by Subject Area and Grantmaker Type, Inflation-Adjusted Dollars*, 2006–2010

	2006		2007		2008		2009		2010	
	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share	Grant Dollars	Share
PRIVATE										
Arts	\$45,344,056	12%	\$40,692,931	10%	\$39,940,701	10%	\$38,373,523	9%	\$44,187,826	12%
Education	\$82,779,588	22%	\$90,240,057	22%	\$77,783,918	20%	\$87,421,664	21%	\$70,104,185	19%
Environment/Animals	\$28,731,896	8%	\$36,807,440	9%	\$36,897,468	10%	\$41,018,780	10%	\$45,404,347	12%
Health	\$46,213,834	12%	\$52,349,726	13%	\$47,040,860	12%	\$53,989,788	13%	\$45,455,757	12%
Human Services	\$95,133,384	25%	\$108,702,152	27%	\$109,057,014	28%	\$103,976,481	25%	\$82,711,417	22%
International	\$10,825,274	3%	\$12,226,970	3%	\$13,020,439	3%	\$14,616,872	4%	\$12,338,969	3%
Public/Society Benefit	\$62,264,524	16%	\$58,306,815	14%	\$53,679,716	14%	\$66,008,663	16%	\$58,089,617	16%
Religion	\$6,275,805	2%	\$7,416,911	2%	\$7,510,390	2%	\$10,367,326	2%	\$10,020,994	3%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$54,405	<1%	\$26,250	<1%	\$45,955	<1%	\$36,210	<1%	\$38,000	<1%
Total	\$377,622,767	100%	\$406,769,251	100%	\$384,976,463	100%	\$415,809,307	100%	\$368,351,112	100%
CORPORATE										
Arts	\$61,811,464	16%	\$67,632,648	16%	\$60,879,211	14%	\$57,447,781	14%	\$62,671,501	15%
Education	\$124,375,949	33%	\$138,152,238	34%	\$140,719,495	32%	\$135,375,917	34%	\$146,912,801	35%
Environment/Animals	\$7,326,927	2%	\$6,830,236	2%	\$12,933,741	3%	\$11,309,467	3%	\$8,566,290	2%
Health	\$32,011,339	9%	\$34,458,013	8%	\$34,450,080	8%	\$35,615,472	9%	\$31,301,113	8%
Human Services	\$70,681,301	19%	\$81,180,104	20%	\$101,368,817	23%	\$81,617,544	20%	\$79,927,023	19%
International	\$737,006	1%	\$2,625,462	1%	\$4,344,536	1%	\$2,788,688	1%	\$3,803,979	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$68,626,387	18%	\$71,268,960	17%	\$74,891,350	17%	\$71,356,535	18%	\$76,579,935	18%
Religion	\$8,588,691	2%	\$9,698,876	2%	\$8,218,641	2%	\$6,759,285	2%	\$5,537,563	1%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$610,709	<1%	\$292,219	<1%	\$15,643	<1%	\$42,298	<1%	\$9,450	<1%
Total	\$374,769,774	100%	\$412,138,757	100%	\$437,821,513	100%	\$402,312,987	100%	\$415,309,655	100%
COMMUNITY/PUBLIC										
Arts	\$12,600,132	10%	\$15,299,846	11%	\$17,417,037	12%	\$11,996,946	10%	\$22,201,082	12%
Education	\$21,939,459	17%	\$29,489,458	20%	\$26,690,129	18%	\$25,212,542	21%	\$39,491,588	22%
Environment/Animals	\$3,800,946	3%	\$3,092,146	2%	\$6,818,491	5%	\$3,749,273	3%	\$4,806,693	3%
Health	\$19,665,286	15%	\$14,090,920	10%	\$20,452,607	14%	\$10,921,044	9%	\$18,930,497	10%
Human Services	\$31,747,305	25%	\$44,110,976	30%	\$42,054,503	28%	\$27,533,814	23%	\$58,351,407	32%
International	\$5,576,266	4%	\$5,303,640	4%	\$3,586,961	2%	\$2,640,991	2%	\$2,250,383	1%
Public/Society Benefit	\$18,265,429	14%	\$17,534,470	12%	\$16,969,523	11%	\$28,149,962	23%	\$23,357,741	13%
Religion	\$14,224,982	11%	\$15,602,387	11%	\$15,249,586	10%	\$10,814,263	9%	\$14,179,238	8%
Other/Not Classifiable	\$444,126	<1%	\$350,545	<1%	\$73,278	<1%	\$48,035	<1%	\$23,718	<1%
Total	\$128,263,931	100%	\$144,874,387	100%	\$149,312,115	100%	\$121,066,870	100%	\$183,592,347	100%
TOTAL GRANTS PAID	\$880,656,472		\$963,782,396		\$972,110,091		\$939,189,164		\$967,253,114	

*All values adjusted for inflation to 2010 dollars, using the Consumer Price Index.

Appendix x: Subject Area Summaries, 2010

About These Summaries

The tables on the following pages provide detail about grants paid within each of the 26 major subject area categories, and breakdowns by subcategories within each category, based on the National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities (NTEE) (see Methodology section, page 59).

For each major category, direct service and non-direct service are distinguished. Direct service organizations provide services directly to individual people as clients. Non-direct service organizations provide service directly to groups, such as associations, research centers, and policy groups.

Major Subject Area	NTEE Category
Arts	A
Education	B
Environment/Animals	C, D
Health	E, F, G, H
Human Services	I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P
International and Foreign Affairs	Q
Public Affairs/Society Benefit	R, S, T, U, V, W
Religion	X
Mutual Benefit	Y
Not Classifiable	Z

Arts – arts, culture, humanities: \$129,060,409**NTEE major field area A**

Non-direct	\$20,330,758	15.8%
Direct	\$108,729,651	84.2%
Total	\$129,060,409	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Arts/cultural organizations - multipurpose	A20	8.6%
Media/communications organizations	A30	9.0%
Visual arts organizations	A40	3.4%
Museums/museum activities	A50	22.1%
Performing arts organizations/activities	A60	43.7%
Humanities organizations	A70	2.7%
Historical societies and related activities	A80	4.4%
Arts service organizations and activities	A90	3.2%
Arts/cultural/humanities - other	A99	2.8%
		100.0%

Education: \$256,508,574**NTEE major field area B**

Non-direct	\$30,601,449	11.9%
Direct	\$225,907,125	88.1%
Total	\$256,508,574	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Elementary/secondary education (Pre-K - 12)	B20	45.2%
Vocational/technical schools	B30	0.1%
Higher education institutions	B40	14.6%
Graduate/professional schools	B50	9.9%
Adult/continuing education	B60	0.3%
Libraries/library science	B70	3.7%
Student services and organizations of students	B80	9.6%
Educational services and schools	B90	14.1%
Education - other	B99	2.5%
		100.0%

Environment – environmental quality, protection, beautification: \$50,419,026**NTEE major field area C**

Non-direct	\$3,085,652	6.1%
Direct	\$47,333,374	93.9%
Total	\$50,419,026	100.0%

<i>Direct services by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Pollution abatement and control services	C20	33.7%
Natural resources conservation/protection	C30	58.7%
Botanical, horticultural and landscape services	C40	1.9%
Environmental beautification & open spaces	C50	<0.1%
Environmental education and outdoor survival programs	C60	4.6%
Environmental programs - other	C99	1.1%
		100.0%

Animals: \$8,358,304
NTEE major field area D

Non-direct	\$985,423	11.8%
Direct	\$7,372,881	88.2%
Total	\$8,358,304	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Animal protection and welfare	D20	23.4%
Wildlife preservation/protection	D30	21.3%
Veterinary services	D40	0.2%
Zoo/zoological society	D50	48.6%
Other services - specialty animals	D60	5.4%
Animal related activities - other	D99	1.1%
		100.0%

Health - general and rehabilitative: \$50,045,812
NTEE major field area E

Non-direct	\$19,343,045	38.7%
Direct	\$30,702,767	61.3%
Total	\$50,045,812	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Hospitals, nursing homes and primary care	E20	33.5%
Health treatment facilities, outpatient	E30	26.9%
Reproductive health care facilities	E40	8.8%
Rehabilitative medical services	E50	7.8%
Health support services	E60	7.1%
Public health programs	E70	6.7%
Health care financing activities	E80	2.5%
Health, general - other	E90	0.7%
Medicine/medical care - other	E99	5.9%
		100.0%

Health - mental health/crisis intervention: \$8,970,040
NTEE major field area F

Non-direct	\$1,938,452	21.6%
Direct	\$7,031,588	78.4%
Total	\$8,970,040	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Alcohol, drug abuse prevention and treatment	F20	25.1%
Mental health treatment	F30	46.7%
Hot line - crisis intervention services	F40	5.3%
Counseling/Support Groups	F60	19.8%
Mental health disorders	F70	1.2%
Mental health associations	F80	0.9%
Mental health - other	F99	1.1%
		100.0%

Health – multipurpose organizations: \$22,736,994**NTEE major field area G**

Non-direct	\$5,639,272	24.8%
Direct	\$17,097,722	75.2%
Total	\$22,736,994	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Birth defects and genetic diseases	G20	0.9%
Cancer	G30	26.2%
Diseases of specific organs	G40	51.6%
Nerve, muscle and bone research	G50	4.3%
Allergy related diseases	G60	0.1%
Specific named diseases	G80	14.7%
Medical disciplines	G90	0.2%
Diseases - other	G99	2.0%
		100.0%

Health – research: \$13,934,521**NTEE major field area H**

Non-direct	\$231,698	1.7%
Direct	\$13,702,823	98.3%
Total	\$13,934,521	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Cancer	H30	1.6%
Diseases of specific organs	H40	1.1%
Nerve, muscle & bone diseases research	H50	0.4%
Specific named diseases	H80	67.8%
Neurology/neuroscience	H90	29.2%
		100.0%

Human Services – public protection: \$8,366,466**NTEE major field area I**

Non-direct	\$1,414,883	16.9%
Direct	\$6,951,583	83.1%
Total	\$8,366,466	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Crime prevention	I20	14.1%
Correctional facilities	I30	0.4%
Rehabilitation services for offenders	I40	5.8%
Administration of justice/courts	I50	8.7%
Law enforcement agencies	I60	5.8%
Neglect/abuse/exploitation prevention and protection	I70	31.0%
Legal services	I80	30.4%
Public protection	I99	3.8%
		100.0%

Human Services – employment/jobs: \$14,196,877**NTEE major field area J**

Non-direct	\$1,514,020	10.7%
Direct	\$12,682,857	89.3%
Total	\$14,196,877	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Employment procurement assistance and job training	J20	93.2%
Vocational rehabilitation	J30	4.8%
Employment - other	J99	2.0%
		100.0%

Human Services – food, nutrition, agriculture: \$29,681,022**NTEE major field area K**

Non-direct	\$4,109,175	13.8%
Direct	\$25,571,847	86.2%
Total	\$29,681,022	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Agricultural programs	K20	13.2%
Food service/free food distribution	K30	56.6%
Nutrition programs	K40	29.0%
Food/nutrition/agriculture - other	K99	1.3%
		100.0%

Human Services – housing/shelter: \$35,564,246**NTEE major field area L**

Non-direct	\$5,720,254	16.1%
Direct	\$29,843,992	83.9%
Total	\$35,564,246	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Housing development/construction/management	L20	57.4%
Housing search assistance	L30	0.9%
Low-cost temporary housing	L40	22.0%
Housing owners/renters organization	L50	0.5%
Housing support services	L80	13.4%
Housing services - other	L99	5.9%
		100.0%

Human Services – public safety, disaster preparedness and relief: \$8,269,765**NTEE major field area M**

Non-direct	\$327,204	4.0%
Direct	\$7,942,561	96.0%
Total	\$8,269,765	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Disaster preparedness and relief	M20	92.0%
Safety education	M40	7.7%
Public safety/disasters - other	M99	0.2%
		100.0%

Human Services – recreation, sports and leisure: \$13,902,763**NTEE major field area N**

Non-direct	\$2,319,071	16.7%
Direct	\$11,583,692	83.3%
Total	\$13,902,763	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Recreational and sporting camps	N20	23.8%
Physical fitness/community recreation facilities	N30	50.1%
Sports training facilities/agencies	N40	1.5%
Recreational, pleasure or social clubs	N50	7.9%
Amateur sports clubs/leagues	N60	10.3%
Amateur sports competitions	N70	1.9%
Recreation - other	N99	4.5%
		100.0%

Human Services – youth development: \$30,311,989**NTEE major field area O**

Non-direct	\$1,879,503	6.2%
Direct	\$28,432,486	93.8%
Total	\$30,311,989	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Youth centers and clubs	O20	13.1%
Adult/child matching programs	O30	18.7%
Scouting organizations	O40	6.5%
Youth development programs	O50	58.0%
Youth development - other	O99	3.7%
		100.0%

Human Services: \$80,696,719**NTEE major field area P**

Non-direct	\$4,331,992	5.4%
Direct	\$76,364,727	94.6%
Total	\$80,696,719	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Human service organizations	P20	22.6%
Children and youth services	P30	9.7%
Family services	P40	22.5%
Personal social services	P50	6.5%
Emergency assistance (food, clothing, cash)	P60	8.8%
Residential/custodial care (group home)	P70	6.6%
Services promoting independence of specific groups	P80	17.7%
Human social services - other	P99	5.7%
		100.0%

International and Foreign Affairs: \$18,393,331**NTEE major field area Q**

Non-direct	\$1,055,354	5.7%
Direct	\$17,337,977	94.3%
Total	\$18,393,331	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Promotion of international understanding	Q20	12.4%
International development/relief services	Q30	65.5%
International peace and security	Q40	1.9%
International human rights	Q70	8.0%
International affairs - other	Q99	12.3%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – civil rights, social action and advocacy: \$3,733,769**NTEE major field area R**

Non-direct	\$837,744	22.4%
Direct	\$2,896,025	77.6%
Total	\$3,733,769	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Civil rights/advocacy for specific groups	R20	73.4%
Intergroup/race relations	R30	9.9%
Voter education/registration	R40	0.3%
Civil liberties advocacy	R60	12.9%
Civil rights - other	R99	3.4%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – community improvement: \$49,424,962
NTEE major field area S

Non-direct	\$7,227,982	14.6%
Direct	\$42,196,980	85.4%
Total	\$49,424,962	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Community/neighborhood development	S20	40.1%
Economic development	S30	20.9%
Business services	S40	17.0%
Nonprofit management	S50	13.7%
Community service clubs	S80	0.4%
Community improvement - other	S99	7.9%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – philanthropy, voluntarism and grantmaking foundations: \$67,585,975
NTEE major field area T

Non-direct	\$10,282,649	15.2%
Direct	\$57,303,326	84.8%
Total	\$67,585,975	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Private grantmaking foundations	T20	1.1%
Public foundations	T30	25.6%
Voluntarism promotion	T40	<0.1%
Philanthropy, charity, voluntarism promotion	T50	0.1%
Community funds & federated giving programs	T70	58.3%
Named trusts - other	T90	<0.1%
Philanthropy, charity, voluntarism - other	T99	14.8%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – science and technology research/services: \$15,701,593
NTEE major field area U

Non-direct	\$9,178,589	58.5%
Direct	\$6,523,004	41.5%
Total	\$15,701,593	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Science, general	U20	23.2%
Physical sciences research and promotion	U30	11.8%
Engineering and technology research/services	U40	50.3%
Biological/life science research	U50	4.0%
Science/technology - other	U99	10.8%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – social science research: \$889,472**NTEE major field area V**

Non-direct	\$21,600	2.4%
Direct	\$867,872	97.6%
Total	\$889,472	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Social science research institutes/services	V20	38.8%
Interdisciplinary research	V30	61.2%
		100.0%

Public Affairs/Society Benefit – public/society benefit: \$20,691,522**NTEE major field area W**

Non-direct	\$4,615,067	22.3%
Direct	\$16,076,455	77.7%
Total	\$20,691,522	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Government and public administration	W20	36.6%
Military/veterans' organizations	W30	1.2%
Public transportation systems/services	W40	12.9%
Financial institutions/services	W60	4.4%
Leadership development (other than youth)	W70	44.4%
Public affairs and society benefit - other	W99	0.5%
		100.0%

Religion – religion/spiritual development: \$29,737,795**NTEE major field area X**

Non-direct	\$4,624,399	15.6%
Direct	\$25,113,396	84.4%
Total	\$29,737,795	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Christian	X20	89.1%
Jewish	X30	5.6%
Islamic	X40	0.3%
Buddhist	X50	0.1%
Interfaith issues/organizations	X90	4.6%
Religion - other	X99	0.4%
		100.0%

Mutual Benefit – mutual/membership benefit: \$61,718**NTEE major field area Y**

Non-direct	\$0	0.0%
Direct	\$61,718	100.0%
Total	\$61,718	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Cemeteries and burial services	Y50	100.0%
Total		100.0%

Not classifiable – not classifiable/unknown: \$9,450**NTEE major field area Z**

Non-direct	\$0	0.0%
Direct	\$9,450	100.0%
Total	\$9,450	100.0%

<i>Direct giving by activity area</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>% of total dollars to direct services</i>
Unknown/unclassifiable	Z99	100.0%
Total		100.0%